

sci.physics: You, Jesse, are a moron.

# You, Jesse, are a moron.

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-07/10035.html>

---

**From:** Jeff Relf (*Jeff\_Relf\_\_at\_\_NCPlus.NET.Invalid*)

**Date:** 07/31/04

Date: 31 Jul 2004 01:35:39 GMT

Hi Jesse F. Hughes,

Re: RFC 1036 ( and plain good manners ),

I implore you... Nay, I \_ Beg \_ you  
to read the following RFC very carefully,

Please note the SHOULD and MUST keywords.

>From <http://www.faqs.org/rfcs/rfc1036.html> <<

2.1.4. Subject

The " Subject " line ( formerly " Title " )  
tells what the message is about.

It should be suggestive enough of  
the contents of the message to enable a reader  
to make a decision whether to read the message  
based on the subject alone.

If the message is submitted in response to  
another message ( e.g., is a follow-up )  
the default subject should begin with  
the four characters "Re: ",  
and the " References " line is required. >>

>From <http://www.usenet-fr.net/fr-chartes/son-of-rfc1036.2.html>

<< If the article is a followup,  
the subject SHOULD begin with "Re: "  
( a " back reference " ). >>

So the term, " back reference " refers to  
the " Re: " tag at the start of a title,  
and Not to the References line.

And the word used was SHOULD, not MUST.

So Google.COM is in violation of RFC 1036.

>From <http://www.usenet-fr.net/fr-chartes/son-of-rfc1036.2.html>

<< If the poster determines that

You, Jesse, are a moron.

