Re: My priorities.

Source: http://sci.tech−archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004−07/10063.html

---

From: Jeff Relf (*Jeff_Relf__at__NCPlus.NET.Invalid*)
Date: 07/31/04

Date: 31 Jul 2004 02:54:36 GMT

Tom Potter

You wrote: <<
" Jeff Relf " makes a valid point !
" People reply to people "

The only reasons that I don't use Jeff's style
of adjusting the subject to accommodate topic drift,
is that I am too lazy,
and not as creative as Jeff. >>

Thank you, Thank you, Your check is in the mail.

But seriously, besides RFC 1036 requiring it,
it's just plain good manners to let people know
what you are writing about by providing a reasonable title.

>From http://www.usenet−fr.net/fr−chartes/son−of−rfc1036.2.html
<< If the poster determines that
the topic of the followup differs significantly
from what is described in the subject,
a new, more descriptive, subject SHOULD be substituted
(With No Back Reference). >>
^^^^ ^^ ^^^^ ^^^^^^^^^

The term, " back reference " refers to
the " Re: " tag at the start of a title,
and Not to the References line.

So even when the topic changes,
the References line SHOULD Not be deleted.
and one SHOULD Not use "Re: " at the start of a title.

I repeat, because the title SHOULD describe the message,
RFC 1036 says that when the topic changes:
One SHOULD Not use "Re: " at the start of a title.
And one SHOULD Not delete the References line.