

Re: GOD=G_uv INVISIBLE WORLD

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-07/7192.html>

From: David Evens (*devens_at_technologist.com*)

Date: 07/23/04

Date: Fri, 23 Jul 2004 00:57:30 -0400

On 22 Jul 2004 12:41:24 -0700, hcraeser731@hotmail.com (Goran Dhemmeog) wrote:

>Ok, George. If you know something about Buddhism, please can you show
>me the passage in some Buddhist text? You say you are a scientist.
>Then please do some research. Go read Dhammapada, or The Heart of
>Perfect Wisdom. Show me where there is a reference to God. Actually I
>have full confidence that you can find something from there to that
>effect, but remember it is not "an invisible man, who controls all
>things and should be worshipped by all devout buddhists". Be careful!
>And sure you have time. Skip a few usenet posts. I am sure no-one will
>mind. You can send that next "SPOG rules" next month. If you have read
>those once, read them again. Please, do me a tiny favor.

>

>I don't say that making a demographic survey on your readers is a
>proof, but it does show whether you reach the people you are preaching
>for. If you go to China and speak gibberish that you think is chinese,
>but no-one seems to understand, it is safe to say that what you are
>saying is not chinese. It does not prove however that what you say is
>not some other valid language. Go learn chinese. Go read the Dhammada!

>

>Well technically MSc in something is not yet scientific credentials.
>Not even Phd is. Phd would tell that the person holding that degree is
>capable of scientific work but does not yet signify that the person
>has done so. Perhaps having some acknowledged work, and publications
>done is a whiff in that way. But George, no-one can really say himself
>having credentials. That honor is attributed by others. Scientific
>credentials are not something that wins a debate. It is something the
>editor writes in the back of the book you write. I promise, I say that
>you have credentials if you read the Heart of Perfect Wisdom.

A minor point, but a Ph.D. in any science from any school worth having one from DOES demonstrate that the holder is capable of doing real scientific work. All schools worth going into a doctoral program at REQUIRE a research project to complete the thesis, and the fact is the thesis is the thing that makes or breaks getting your Ph.D. No thesis, no Ph.D. (Same thing goes for M.Sc.'s at schools with worthwhile graduate programs, too. Of course, there is literally

sci.physics: Re: GOD=G_uv INVISIBLE WORLD

nothing more boring than a thesis. I should know, I had to read a master and the subsequent doctoral thesis back when I was in my first year as a research assistant in university. The doctoral thesis was the only thing that I've ever read that has actually put me to sleep purely from the tedium of reading it. And I was actually interested in the material.)