

Re: GOD=G_uv HAMMOND NOBEL SPEECH

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-07/7880.html>

From: TMG (TMG_at_Nowhere.org)

Date: 07/25/04

Date: Sat, 24 Jul 2004 22:09:14 -0400

David Evens wrote:

> On 23 Jul 2004 19:29:24 GMT, danfoxart@NOSPAMyahoo.com(Dan Fox) wrote:

>

>>As a graduate physicist myself (M.S., WVU, 1971), I've looked over the

>>Hammond stuff and have a question: why do real physicists here bother with

>>this guy at all? He is clearly insane; his ideas aren't even bad science –

>>they are gibberish.

>

>

> Well, he's sane enough that they let him roam the streets .

Well, the Commonwealth DOES lock him up now and then. Even George admits to taking a bit of a rest as a guest of our mental health system.

> He's also very, very funny to humiliate,

> although it tends to wear thin.

True, but then he finds some new way to piss everyone off!

> In any event, nobody posts here for work purposes, it's strictly

> recreational. Showing people a newly observed knot that George has

> tied himself into without noticing is amusing.

Hammond has an unusually wide blind spot. Even for a drooling crank, he's totally wacked. Very much more than Archimedes Plutonium.

>>Here's one for you: when I was a freshman I found a little book in the

>>library by one Arthur Otis, PhD. It was a nonsensical refutation of general

>>relativity (Otis was dead serious). I actually found it on the web – if

>>you're interested in a taste go to

>><http://www.questia.com/PM.qst?a=o&d=61647071>

>

>

> Reminds me of a video I saw once, in which a former geologist whose

> brain appeared to have gone soft went on about how this 300 foot high

> sheer limestone cliff was cut through lime mud by a river...

>

>

>>By the way, I looked at George Hammond's picture at his site. He looks so
>>... normal. Of course, so did Jeffrey Daumer.
>
>
> The picture Potty put on his site looks normal, too, except that
> there's no reason to suppose either picture is of the person it is
> claimed to be.

Actually – that's a reasonably accurate pic of George – it's a few years old, and it lacks the cartoon stink-lines denoting his filthy homeless status – but last I saw the great thinker, it was close.