

You are in violation of RFC 1036.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-07/9666.html>

From: Jeff Relf (*Jeff_Relf__at__NCPlus.NET.Invalid*)

Date: 07/30/04

Date: 30 Jul 2004 03:36:05 GMT

Hi Eric Gisse,

Re: How you view my changes to titles,

You are in violation of RFC 1036, because you used the title:

" Re: The ultimate luxury ? "

when you commented, << Editorial choice.

His replies to another person, as often as not,
will appear with a new subject header. No reason,
just 'cuz – regardless if it annoys others or not. >>

Note: in these RFCs the word SHOULD (when capitalized)
and the word MUST (also when capitalized)
have very specific meanings.

>From <http://www.faqs.org/rfcs/rfc1036.html> <<

2.1.4. Subject

The " Subject " line (formerly " Title ")
tells what the message is about.

It should be suggestive enough of
the contents of the message to enable a reader
to make a decision whether to read the message
based on the subject alone.

If the message is submitted in response to
another message (e.g., is a follow-up)
the default subject should begin with
the four characters "Re: ",
and the " References " line is required. >>

>From <http://www.usenet-fr.net/fr-chartes/son-of-rfc1036.2.html>

<< If the article is a followup,
the subject SHOULD begin with "Re: "
(a " back reference "). >>

So the term, " back reference " refers to
the " Re: " tag at the start of a title,
and Not to the References line.

