

sci.physics: Re: _ SHOULD _ Have No Back Reference.

Re: _ SHOULD _ Have No Back Reference.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-07/9832.html>

From: Mike Hanson (hanson_mike_at_hotmail.com)

Date: 07/30/04

Date: 30 Jul 2004 08:07:07 -0700

Jeff Relf <Jeff_Relf_@_NCPlus.NET.Invalid> wrote in message news:<_Jeff_Relf_2004_Jul_29_A8eL@NCPlus.NET>...

<snip>

> *I will now use this RFC to shut people up*
> *whenever they complain about my title changes.*

>

> *I will also use it to prove that*
> *Google.COM is in violation of RFC 1036.*

<snip>

If people complain to you about your title changes instead of complaining to Google about its threading system then which do they consider the greater inconvenience? Your choosing to make a nuisance of yourself in this respect merely presents you as someone who likes to make a nuisance of himself. It has no other effect.

--

Mike.