

Re: Getting back to basics

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-07/9998.html>

From: Jim Greenfield (greenfield_7_at_hotmail.com)

Date: 07/30/04

Date: 30 Jul 2004 16:58:13 -0700

The Ghost In The Machine <ewill@aurigae.athghost7038suus.net> wrote in message news:<1f3qt1-nvq.ln1@lexi2.athghost7038suus.net>...

> *In sci.physics, Jim Greenfield*

> <greenfield_7@hotmail.com>

> wrote

> on 29 Jul 2004 21:29:08 -0700

> <3c4afb26.0407292029.626f634b@posting.google.com>:

> > "Spaceman" <Spaceman@realspaceman.com> wrote in message news:<[qVdOc.53813\\$eM2.19543@attbi_s51](mailto:qVdOc.53813$eM2.19543@attbi_s51)>...

> > > "Uncle Al" <UncleAl0@hate.spam.net> wrote in message news:4108F9C2.1CB8C568@hate.spam.net...

> > > } Spaceman wrote:

> > > } >

> > > } > "Jim Greenfield" <greenfield_7@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> > > } > news:3c4afb26.0407281730.7f9189d9@posting.google.com...

> > > } > } Again!

> > > } > } 300,000k/s + 300,000k/s =< 600,000k/s according to SR addition OK??

> > > } >

> > > } > } Yup, that is there motto!

> > > } > } :)

> > > } > } Scary huh?

> > > } > } Lorentz created a fab scam.

> > > } >

> > > } > } Does it burn, stooopid Spaceshit, does it burn?

> > >

> > > } > } Yup,

> > > } > } It is burning you so badly that you need to constantly post after almost

> > > } > } each and every one of my posts.

> > > } > } <LOL>

> > >

> > } > } James, How long do you reckon, before I get an answer (value given) for c^2 ???

> > } > } About as long as it takes Tapeworm to add 186,000 186,000 times?????????

> >

> > } > } Jim G

> > } > } $c'=c+v$

>

> } > } Perish the thought that you have to wait for such a simple expression. :-)

>

sci.physics: Re: Getting back to basics

> $c^2 = 89875517873681764. \text{ m}^2/\text{s}^2$

>

> *(This is an exact value, by definition.)*

>

> *What the relevance of this request is I can't say.*

If you see NO relevance to the fact that DHR's on the one hand claim as your calculation above, AND on the other, that $300,000\text{k}/\text{sec} + 300,000\text{k}/\text{sec} = <600,000$ then you are truly confused.

.....I suppose that's OK to someone who thought $-105\text{sec} = +105\text{sec}$

Jim G