

# Re: 'Multiverse Theory' – Universe is a Virtual Reality Matrix

**Source:** <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004–08/0768.html>

---

**From:** Volstag ([hawkbeak\\_at\\_hotmail.com](mailto:hawkbeak_at_hotmail.com))

**Date:** 08/02/04

Date: 2 Aug 2004 09:29:33 -0700

usenet@mantra.com (Dr. Jai Maharaj) wrote in message news:<hSF6n90Llrc9@pN059f4whUUBlh>...

> In article <b4d10b91.0407301231.35b5b68c@posting.google.com>,  
> hawkbeak@hotmail.com (Volstag) posted:  
>> Why would the alleged "intelligent design" of the universe suggest the  
>> existense of God? Couldn't it, just as easily, suggest the existense  
>> of Zues, or Odin, or Moloch the Destroyer, or Vishnu, etc, etc?  
>>  
>> I'm no cosmologist/physicist, but the appearance of intelligent design  
>> is a far cry from emperical proof.  
>>  
>> -V  
>  
> How do you define "God" in the context of your usage above?  
> By the way "appearance" does relate to "observation", hence  
> an observed proof is an empirical proof.

1) I define God as me. I am the Creator of the Multiverse.  
2) Thanks for correcting me. Let me get this right: the "appearance"  
of something is synonymous with "emperical proof". Since Kermit the  
Frog appears to be a sentient being, it must mean he is.

> Jai Maharaj  
> <http://www.mantra.com/jai>  
> Om Shanti

"If an astrologer can avoid charging fees, he shouldn't hesitate to  
provide free service to all --- Steve Jay"

I want my free reading, okay?

-V