

Re: No 757 Hit the Pentagon Re: Four More Years of Murder

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-08/1414.html>

From: Tupac Chopra (*master_at_mason.snuh*)

Date: 08/05/04

Date: 5 Aug 2004 02:17:22 GMT

"Ralph Nesbitt" <ralph-nesbitt@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
news:DWXPc.60\$UC3.43@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com:

>
> "Tupac Chopra" <master@mason.snuh> wrote in message
> news:Xns953A7E70218C2spamsnudsnuhspam33@207.14.113.17...
>> "Ralph Nesbitt" <ralph-nesbitt@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
>> news:7_NPc.935\$Lh2.711@newssvr22.news.prodigy.com:
>>
>>>
>>> "Tupac Chopra" <master@mason.snuh> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns953A29CA96F72spamsnudsnuhspam33@207.14.113.17...
>>>> "Ralph Nesbitt" <ralph-nesbitt@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
>>>> news:XSCPc.1750\$bu4.144@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com:
>>>>
>>>> <Snip>
>>>>
>>>> Perhaps you should work for FEMA, then. Their findings were very
>>>> inconclusive.
>>>>
>>>> Your continual rants against the FEMA & ASCE Report/s using
>>>> studies prepared by technical specialists/organizations in given
>>>> fields are "Ludicrous" at best. If you have a "Technical
>>>> Disagreement" with their work fine, post your differences & why
>>>> with specific "Technical Supporting Details". Please provide your
>>>> technical qualifications or the technical qualifications of those
>>>> you cite with appropriate proviance.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> First of all, Ralph, you write, type and spell like an alcoholic.
>>>> Just an aside.
>>>>
>>>> A typical dodge by you when faced with questions re lagitimacy of your
>>>> responses

A simple fact. My 9 year old writes better than you do. Of course, she doesn't drink.

>>

>> *Now then. FEMA's report on WTC-7 was inconclusive. You might want to read it. The ASCE report was a building performance report, and had essentially nothing to do with whether or not a 757 hit the Pentagon. It assumed a 757 had, and went from there.*

>>

> *Agreed the ASCE report is a "Building Performance Report" that details how the bldg withstood the impact of the AA 757.*

Yet you constantly cite it as proof that a 757 hit the Pentagon.

> *It is a known fact a AA 757 crashed into the Pentagon which you refuse to accept.*

If it was a "known fact", there wouldn't be 5000 websites questioning it.

You would be able to debunk my site. But you can't.

> *Don't respond with your usual "Blabber" of "prove it".
> Definitely prove an AA 757 dit not crash into the Pentagon, don't respond with your usual "wild crack head speculation".*

Good job on "wild crack head speculation", Ralphie. You're very credible.

>> *And furthermore, the ASCE report contains at least one huge lie, which is easily proven.*

>>

> *Basis. Provide Details & specifics.*

I did. You keep snipping and avoiding it.

The ASCE report claims that the white smoke trail is a 757. Do you agree with their "findings"?

>>

>>

>> >>

>> >>

>> > <Snip>

>> >>

>> >> *Why does Lensman drop the threads so often, and why do both keep snipping that URL?*

>> >>

>> > *Lensman can speak for himself.*

>>

>>

>> *Except for when he drops threads.*

>>

>>
>> > *Personally the information/exhibts at the URL are lacking in*
>> > *validity. Most are "Smoke & Mirrors Assumptions" without basis in*
>> > *fact, while the rest is "Biased Speculation" designed to be*
>> > *insulting to those with a technical knowledge of some of the*
>> > *subjects IMHO.*
>>
>>
>> *Can you point out the ones without basis in fact, or lacking in*
>> *validity?*
>>
> *All begining with your biased assumptions.*

Like the ASCE's biased assumptions?

>>
> <snip>
>>
>> *And what parts are "designed to be insulting"?*
>>
> *All*

Can you give me an example?

>>
>> *You've never seen the site, have you, Ralph?*
>>
> *Yes*

Which one?

>>
>>
>>
>> > *As for dropping threads. Your classic "Bait & Switch"*
>> > *response/posting tactics in an attempt to create confunsiion re the*
>> > *subject, then responding with a "Gotcha" based on*
>> > *selective/creative sniping is not appreciated.*
>>
>> *Could you please clarify this for me?*
>>
> *My comment above speaks for itself.*

Incoherent nonsense, indicative of alcoholism.

>>
>> > *Combine the above with your continous changing of monikers/posting*
>> > *names makes it troublesome to identify you as a specific poster. If*
>> > *not comfortable useing your ral name, choose a posting*
>> > *name/handle/moniker & use it continously, that is if your*
>> > *intentions are legitimate, above board, on the "Up & Up.*

sci.physics: Re: No 757 Hit the Pentagon Re: Four More Years of Murder

>>

>>

>> *I'll drink to that, Ralphie!*

>>

>>

>> --

>> *BeDoper* –

> *Ralph Nesbitt*

> *Professional FD/CFR/ARFF Type*

> *Posting from ADA*

Suddenly your ISP supports a lot more than 2 groups, Ralphie...

No 757 Hit The Pentagon

<http://www.bedoper.com/eastman>

--

BeDoper - <http://www.bedoper.com/>

Meter Versus Yard - <http://www.soundclick.com/bands/3/meterversusyard.htm>

DJ Manticore - <http://www.soundclick.com/bands/8/manticore.htm>

<http://www.soundclick.com/bands/9/monteau.htm>

High-C - <http://www.garageband.com/artist/jasongortician>

<http://www.soundclick.com/theoriginalhighc>