

Re: No 757 Hit the Pentagon Re: Four More Years of Murder

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-08/1795.html>

From: Synchrodestiny's Child (*master_at_mason.snuh*)

Date: 08/06/04

Date: 6 Aug 2004 04:01:22 GMT

"Ralph Nesbitt" <ralph-nesbitt@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
news:w4DQc.2492\$q_1.116@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com:

>
> "BDK" <kingratboyoboy@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1b7c07da27426ad0989bfd@news.buckeye-express.com...
>> In article <TNSdnaxjTrsBU1zcRVn-pw@gbronline.com>,
>> radiobillxx@aagbronline.com says...
>>> Tupac Chopra wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>How about you do your own fucking footwork?
>>>>>
>>>>>Ah, the old "how about you do your own (jr high vulgularity left
>>>>>out) footwork" ploy. In other words you can provide any evidence.
>>>>>You know when someone is losing the argument when they resort to
>>>>>jr high
>>>>
>>>> language.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> If you weren't such a lazy, ignorant bitch, I wouldn't treat you
>>>> like one. There's no argument, dummy. Read the eyewitness
>>>> accounts, and
> you'll
>>>> see I'm right about this.
>>>
>>> Oh wow, now I'm a bitch! All I ask is you give me something
>>> substantial to back up your statement, something you obviously
>>> cannot do.
>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Just what happened to AA flight 77 and it's passengers and

>> > >>>>crew?
>> > >>>
>> > >>>
>> > >>>*I have absolutely no idea. They didn't hit the Pentagon,*
>> > >>>*obviously.*
>> > >>
>> > >>*So an entire AA airliner with crew and passengers just flew off*
>> > >>*into*
>> > >
>> > > *the*
>> > >
>> > >>*wild blue yonder and dissappeared never to be heard from again.*
>> > >>*An entire plane of crew an passengers were part of this great*
>> > >>*conspiracy including an 11 year old boy. Heck, he probably was*
>> > >>*the head CIA operative on the flight. Yea right! I guess it was a*
>> > >>*stealth airliner and took off from Dulles completely undetected*
>> > >>*by Air Traffic Control and they could not track it on radar like*
>> > >>*all airliners are under ATC until they are handed off to another*
>> > >>*sector.*
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > *Argumentum ad absurdum is a great tactic, for you.*
>> >
>> > *And yet you can't provide any answers or proof of anything you say.*
>> >
>> > *Why does the word moron come to mind when I read your rantings?*
>> >
>> >
>>
>> *Whackjob is what comes to me when I see their nonsense. The bedoper*
>> *website is pretty pitiful and the fact that they dont seem to be able*
>> *to see it's a joke, well that puts them into the loon catagory. I*
>> *enjoy talking to them for the most part, but I always know they*
>> *probably aren't going to really get any better...*
>>
>> *BDK*
>>
> *Jason Christie, by what ever posting name he is currently using does*
> *not claim the Webb site it actually his or he had anything to do with*
> *putting it together. Christie is nothing more than a "Court*
> *Jester/Front man" for Eastman.*
> *Ralph Nesbitt*
> *Professional FD/CFR/ARFF Type*
>
>
>

Non-alcoholics would refer to it as "web hosting".

No 757 Hit The Pentagon
<http://www.bedoper.com/eastman>

I don't guess you could address this post for me, Ralphie?

Tupac Chopra <master@mason.snuh> wrote in
news:Xns953BDA982BE70spamspamsnuhspam33@207.14.113.17:

> *Tupac Chopra <master@mason.snuh> wrote in*
> *news:Xns953BD72C1BCD3spamspamsnuhspam33@207.14.113.17:*
>
>> *Tupac Chopra <master@mason.snuh> wrote in*
>> *news:Xns953AF143E820spamspamsnuhspam33@207.14.113.17:*
>>
>>> *Tupac Chopra <master@mason.snuh> wrote in*
>>> *news:Xns953AC3EB77858spamspamsnuhspam33@207.14.113.17:*
>>>
>>>> *Laurence Doering <ljd@bcpl.net> wrote in*
>>>> *news:2nagm5FuqkikU1@uni-berlin.de:*
>>>>
>>>>> *On 31 Jul 2004 00:38:23 GMT, Phat Albert Camus <master@mason.snuh>*
>>>>> *wrote:*
>>>>>> *Laurence Doering <ljd@bcpl.net> wrote in*
>>>>>> *news:2mwig1Fr5vj3U1@uni-berlin.de:*
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *The problem with the idea of the wings "crumbling back into the*
>>>>>>> *fuselage" is that it accepts at face value the "No 757 Hit The*
>>>>>>> *Pentagon, You Idiot" peoples' claim that the hole in the*
>>>>>>> *Pentagon's exterior wall was relatively small.*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> *In fact, the hole in the Pentagon's outer wall was about 120 feet*
>>>>>>>> *wide.*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>> *That is false, by any stretch of the imagination.*
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>> *Actually, you're right. According to the ASCE report [1], the area*
>>>>>>>>>> *of severe damage to the Pentagon's facade was 120 feet wide.*
>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>> *So you've changed your story from a 120-foot wide hole, to 120 feet*
> *of*
>>>>>>>>>>> *exterior damage?*
>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>> *Why do you persist in calling it a hole, then? A hole is contiguous.*
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>> *However, the hole in the wall (the portion of the first-floor wall*
>>>>>>>>>>>>> *where the facade and brick infill was missing,*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *That is not a hole, that is exterior damage.*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *with all exterior*
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> *columns demolished or severely damaged)*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> *There is a huge difference between missing and damaged. Only missing
>>>> columns count, if we are talking about a hole. The rest are exterior
>>>> damage.*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>> *extended from column lines*

>>>>> *8 through 18 for a total of 100 feet. The facade and infill were*

>>>>> *missing between column lines 18 and 20, for another 20 feet.*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> *If the columns are in place, and they clearly are, then you have no*

>>>> *100-foot wide hole. You have 100 feet of exterior damage. Which is*

>>>> *exactly what you describe above.*

>>>>

>>>> *Are you saying the plane only had a left wing and engine,*

> *furthermore?*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>> *I was wrong -- the hole was only 100 feet wide.*

>>>>>

>>>>>> *The circular hole was at most, 16 feet or so wide.*

>>>>>>

>>>>>> *There was no circular hole in the exterior wall of the Pentagon.*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> *Are you claiming that the hole wasn't perfectly round? Is that your*

>>>> *angle?*

>>>>

>>>> *Few things in life are perfectly round, especially when dealing with*

>>>> *plane crashes. I agree, it was not perfectly round.*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> *Are you saying it was square?*

>>>>

>>>>> *Rectangular?*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> *What was the shape of the hole that covered two stories?*

>>>>

>>>> *Was there a 100-foot-wide hole in between the first and second*

> *floors?*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>
>>>>> *Figure 3.10 in the ASCE report shows the actual shape and extent*
>>>>> *of the hole.*
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> <http://bedoper.com/eastman/> shows a circular hole, as do many other
>>>> photos.
>>>>
>>>> *Why do you lie about things that are plainly visible?*
>>>>
>>>> *Circular*
>>>> <http://www.freedomfiles.org/war/pentagon-pics/pentagon-hole-big2.jpg>
>>>>
>>>> *Circular*
>>>> <http://perso.club-internet.fr/mouv4x8/11Sept01/A0019b%20Entry%20hole%20by%20calcul%20Pentagon.jpg>
>>>>
>>>> *Circular "Punch-Out"*
>>>> http://perso.club-internet.fr/mouv4x8/11Sept01/A0004b_Passage_Missile_Pentagon.jpg
>>>>
>>>> *Circular "Punch-Out"*
>>>> <http://www.freedomfiles.org/war/pentagon-pics/pentagon-punchout.gif>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> *We'll just ignore how very unlikely it is that a 757 would create a*
>>>> *nice round hole on exit...*
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> *Figure 6.6 shows that the punch-out would have been physically*
>>>> *impossible, with intact columns in the path of the alleged 757.*
>>>>
>>>> *So does figure 7.9. Can you explain this round "punch-out", and how*
> *it*
>>>> *was formed?*
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>> *The damage to the face of the Pentagon cannot be properly termed a*
>>>>>> *hole at all. The columns are still extant.*
>>>>>>
>>>>>> *Nope. The exterior columns are missing entirely between column*
line
>>>>>> *8 and column line 15. Feel free to point out a picture that shows*
>>>>>> *the columns in that area "still extant."*
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> *Figure 6.2 shows a total of 5 columns missing from the exterior*
wall.
>>>> *Are the columns 20 feet apart?*

>>>>

>>>> *No?*

>>>>

>>>> *Then where are you getting this figure of a 100-foot wide hole?*

>>>>

>>>> *What about all the columns to the right of that?*

>>>>

>>>> *By the way, the area between columns 8 and 15 is the bottom of the*

>>>> *circular hole that you claim somehow didn't exist.*

>>>>

>>>> *Nice work.*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>> *Perhaps the width of the damage was 120 feet. The hole was*

>>>>> *certainly not 120 feet wide.*

>>>>>

>>>>> *You're right, it was only 100 feet wide.*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> *You don't really know what a hole is, do you? A hole doesn't have*

>>>> *columns. A hole would have a lack of columns. You are describing*

>>>> *exterior damage.*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>>> *But I would love to see some pictures supporting your claim, and*

>>>>> *disproving mine.*

>>>>>

>>>>> *One of the pictures is on your own website.*

>>>>>

>>>>> *Figure 3.8 and 3.9 in the ASCE report show the north and south ends*

>>>>> *of the 100-foot wide hole in the Pentagon's outer wall.*

>>>>>

>>>>> *Figure 3.9 is the same as the third image from the top on your 'No*

>>>>> *757 Hit the Pentagon, You Idiot' page, except that someone has*

>>>>> *cropped the image so it doesn't show the sag in the Pentagon's*

>>>>> *roofline caused by the destruction of the support columns in the*

> *area*

>>>>> *of the hole in the exterior wall. Who cropped that image, and why?*

>>>>

>>>>

>>>> *I don't know who cropped it. But it clearly shows that the hole was*

>>>> *circular. Despite your protestations to the contrary.*

>>>>

>>>> *Here's a GREAT composite shot that shows the circular nature of the*

>>>> *hole.*

>>>>

>>>> *And the stunning lack of a 100-foot wide hole.*

>>>>

>>>> <http://perso.wanadoo.fr/jpdesm/pentagon/damage/punch-in.jpg>

>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> *A simple glance at the following photo reveals the falsehood in
> your
>>>>> statement. Which tends to cast doubt on the rest of your analysis.*
>>>>>
>>>>> <http://www.questionsquestions.net/docs04/bugle/images2/bugle4.jpg>
>>>>>
>>>>> *That's the same image as figure 3.9 and the third from the top on
>>>>> your page, and clearly shows the south end of the large hole in the
>>>>> Pentagon.*
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> *With columns in place. Which means you are using a very funny
>>>> definition of hole. You're describing damage to the exterior, and
>>>> calling it a hole.*
>>>>
>>>> *And you think you're somehow clever for doing so. You only undermine
>>>> your own case by lying.*
>>>>
>>>> *Even figure 6.2 and 6.3 in your own evidence shows you're lying.*
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> *The north end of the hole is beyond the left edge of the image, and
>>>>> north of column line 14 the hole is obscured by the smoke in the
>>>>> foreground.*
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> *You're ignoring the fact that the southern side of that shows all
the
>>>> columns that were in place, which proves that there was no 100-foot
>>>> wide hole.*
>>>>
>>>> *It also shows a circular hole in the middle.*
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> *ljd*
>>>>>
>>>>> [1] <http://fire.nist.gov/bfrlpubs/build03/PDF/b03017.pdf>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> *Figure 3.3 is a blatant lie. Why don't you ever address this?*
>>>>
>>>> *Do you think that bumpy white smoke trail is really a 757?*
>>>>
>>>> *Figure 7.4 shows the essential fallacy of the "wings folded and
>>>> followed the plane into the center hole".*
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> *No 757 Hit the Pentagon*

sci.physics: Re: No 757 Hit the Pentagon Re: Four More Years of Murder

>>>> <http://www.bedoper.com/eastman>

>>>>

>>>>

>>>

>>> *Let's hope Larry doesn't do the same cowardly thing and snip the
> entire*

>>> *message...*

>>>

>>

>> *Neither Larry nor Ralphie nor Lensman seem to want to touch this post
>> with a 10-foot pole. Or even a 120-foot pole.*

>>

>> *Interesting.*

>>

>>

>>

>

> *Such a badge of shame. Is there no one in the world who can address
this*

> *post without snipping its content?*

>

--

BeDoper - <http://www.bedoper.com/>

Meter Versus Yard - <http://www.soundclick.com/bands/3/meterversusyard.htm>

DJ Manticore - <http://www.soundclick.com/bands/8/manticore.htm>

<http://www.soundclick.com/bands/9/monteau.htm>

High-C - <http://www.garageband.com/artist/jasongortician>

<http://www.soundclick.com/theoriginalhighc>