

Re: No 757 Hit the Pentagon Re: Four More Years of Murder

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-08/1994.html>

From: Synchrodestiny's Child (*master_at_mason.snuh*)

Date: 08/06/04

Date: 6 Aug 2004 22:09:54 GMT

JJ <radiobuff66@mailcity.moc> wrote in
news:j_KdnXMWYs7Ac47cRVn-hA@gbronline.com:

> *Synchrodestiny's Child wrote:*

>

>

>>

>>

>>

>> *Well, there you go. And you sort of almost found that out on your*

>> *own.*

>

> *A whole big whopping two eyewitnesses as opposed to the dozens of*
> *others who say exactly what happened, that AA flight 77 crashed into*
> *the Pentagon.*

No, that was two cited eyewitnesses. Which disproved your claim.

So you instead switch tactics. How craven of you.

>>

>> *Mr. Eastman is leagues ahead of any of you in the Pentagon research*

>> *department.*

>>

>

> *Eastmen is in a league alright, but it has nothing to do with honest*
> *research and truth.*

Then you can debunk this page?

No 757 Hit The Pentagon

<http://www.bedoper.com/eastman>

>>

>>
>>>>*Do you also not know that almost half of*
>>>>*the "hijackers" are still alive?*
>>>
>>>*If they are, please name them and post references to back up your*
>>>*statements or shut up.*
>>
>>
>>
>> *I bet you could find out, if you weren't a disinformation-oriented*
>> *troll.*
>
> *So again you admit you can't provide that information.*

You are only crowing about your inability to do a basic Google search on your own.

<http://www.google.com/search?hl=en&ie=UTF-8&q=9+11+hijackers+still+alive>

http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle_east/1559151.stm

I wouldn't be proud of this inability, if I were you.

>>
>>>>*I don't think you have room to talk, dodging this post as you do:*
>>>>
>>>>*I am not dodging this post, either back up your silly statements or*
>>>
>> *shut*
>>
>>>>*up. I provided plenty of accounts that are documented, you seem to be*
>>>>*incapable of doing the same. Either put up or shut up.*
>>
>>
>>
>> *You already proved one of my points for me. If you try, you can prove*
>> *my other statement.*
>>
>> *But you can't seem to answer this:*
>
> *And you can't seem to prove any of your own points except to point to*
> *Eastman's fantasies.*

Maybe you should quit ducking threads and actually read the discussions.

--
<http://BeDoper.com> - BeOS and a hell of a lot more
BeDoper Portal - <http://www.bedoper.com/portal>