

## Re: KEEP THIS SHIT OUT OF SCI.PHYSICS

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-08/4319.html>

---

**From:** Phil Holman (*philjud\_at\_earthlink.net*)

**Date:** 08/15/04

Date: Sun, 15 Aug 2004 02:59:05 GMT

"Lil' Bobby Gortician" <master@mason.snuh> wrote in message  
news:Xns9542E1640203Dspamsnudsuhspam33@207.14.113.17...  
> *Eric Gisse* <fseggNO!SPAM@uaf.edu> wrote in  
> *news:vsllh0tnkehfs2kgaoqj3p2j361m7ut3o1@4ax.com:*  
>  
> > *[snip]*  
> >  
> > *KEEP THIS SHIT OUT OF SCI.PHYSICS*  
>  
> *Why don't you mind your own business? We're discussing the physics of*  
*the*  
> *Pentagon on 9/11.*  
>  
> *Can you, a presumed physics buff, explain how the "757"'s engine flew*  
*over*  
> *the Pentagon? Allegedly?*

Predicting the pathway of a fused engine is difficult. The IA 747  
freighter which lost an inboard engine shortly after take-off from  
Amsterdam is an example. The departing engine took out the outboard  
engine.

Depending on the sequence of failure of the 5 main attachments, it is  
not a simple thing to predict. Trying to figure this out from the  
photographic evidence on display would be difficult.

PH