

## Re: Partial difference equation, primes

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-08/6586.html>

---

**From:** C. Bond ([cbond\\_at\\_ix.netcom.com](mailto:cbond_at_ix.netcom.com))

**Date:** 08/22/04

Date: Sun, 22 Aug 2004 14:42:09 GMT

James Harris wrote:

[snip]

- > *There is no other known in recorded history used to count prime*
- > *numbers besides my  $dS(x,y)$  and yes, I'm talking about all of human*
- > *history here.*
- >
- > *To me that's a simple enough claim that it should either be refutable*
- > *by you sci.math'ers, or if you're sane, you'll quit trying to*
- > *insinuate that it's not a big deal.*

NOTE: Every article published in every math journal contains (or purports to contain) some unique, hitherto unreported contribution. Otherwise the article would be redundant since it could be reduced to a simple reference. There are thousands of novel results published yearly — not all of which are big deals.

> *James Harris*

--

There are two things you must never attempt to prove: the unprovable -- and the obvious.

--

Democracy: The triumph of popularity over principle.

--

<http://www.crbond.com>