

Re: Hammond sees the obvious?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-08/8159.html>

From: George Hammond (research137_at_hotmail.com)

Date: 08/26/04

Date: Thu, 26 Aug 2004 22:37:59 GMT

"Scot McDermid" <scotmc@optNOSPAMonline.net> wrote in message
news:WHjXc.4741\$ZD4.4766772@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...

- > < *Top posting sorry*>
- >
- > *I see a problem. I was confusing Brahma with Brahman.*
- > *Big Difference*

[Hammond]

Hey... don't sweat the small stuff.

A "scientific proof of God" is so historic and crucial
to the world.... that they are willing to overlook even
monumental blunders..... all they want to know is:

IS THERE A REAL GOD OR NOT ?

and I've found the answer.... YES there is a real God;
as real as Gravity.

- >
- > *From <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brahman>*
- > *In the Vedantic (and subsequently Yogic) schools of Hinduism, Brahman is*
- > *the signifying name given to the concept of the unchanging, infinite,*
- > *immanent*
- > *and transcendent reality that is the Divine Ground of all being. It is*
- > *regarded*
- > *as the source and sum of the cosmos, that constricted by time, space, and*
- > *causation, as pure being, to some extent a sort of "world soul." Thus, in*
- > *the*
- > *beginning of its history, it was deemed a sort of super-stuff from which*
- > *all*
- > *that is arises, and debuts with this verse:*
- >
- > *Great indeed are the Gods who have sprung out of Brahman.*
- > *Atharva Veda*
- >

sci.physics: Re: Hammond sees the obvious?

> <rest of the post intact without comments>
> "George Hammond" <research137@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:pR7Xc.310114\$a24.277802@attbi_s03...
>>
>> "Scot McDermid" <scotmc@optNOSPAMonline.net> wrote in message
>> news:296Xc.1512\$ZD4.2516225@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
>>> "Goran Dhemmeog" <hcraeser731@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:b9eccd47.0408250130.354b4e77@posting.google.com...
>>>> "George Hammond" <research137@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:<NipWc.303919\$%_6.59369@attbi_s01>...
>>>>> "Goran Dhemmeog" <hcraeser731@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>> news:b9eccd47.0408230606.10e82fb9@posting.google.com...
>>>>>> "George Hammond" <research137@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>> news:<_BiWc.297578\$a24.489@attbi_s03>...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> [Hammond]
>>>>>>> Baloney. The Trinity of "Vishnu, Krishna, and Brahma"
>>>>>>> is the same as the "Father, Son and Holy Ghost" in
>>>>>>> Christianity. Therefore Hinduism is a monotheistic religion
>>>>>>> despite it's many demigods.
>>>>>>> Buddhism is a derivative of Hinduism, as you know.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Incredible! George managed to singlehandedly prove that not only
>> does
>>>>>>> Buddhism have a God, but also Hinduism is actually a
monotheistic
>>>>>>> religion! Who was Shiva then?
>>>>
>>>> Some sects of Buddhism have a God. Or at least "there is a sort
>>>> of God in there" just like a close association between God
>>>> and he eternal Tao.
>>>>
>>> [Hammond]
>>> Yes they refer to "God" as the "Buddha Spirit" or
>>> "Buddha Consciousness".
>>>
>>>
>>>> And Hinduism is actually pantheist with Brahman ~= universe.
>>>> All the other gods are 'only' parts of Brahman. At least that
>>>> is the story that I know.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> [Hammond]
>>>> Vishnu is the closest thing to "God the Father" in Hinduism.
>>>> Krishna is clearly the "Son of God" model... although
>>>> remember Hinduism does not base their religion on a history
>>>> of persecution as does Christianity... so their "Son of God"
>>>> is not the martyr that ours is. Brahma is clearly the "Holy
>>>> Ghost" third of the trinity in Hinduism. Thus the
>>>> "Trinity of God" in Hinduism is "Vishnu, Krishna, Bramha"...

Re: Hammond sees the obvious?

> > *the equivalent of "Father, Son and Holy Ghost" in Xtianity.*
> > *The "Trinity" BTW is not part of the "structural" model,*
> > *it is actually a description of the cybernetic loop that*
> > *controls brain growth through the interaction with society..*
> > *the "input, output and feedback" of brain development.*
> > *Shiva BTW is the Hindu "Devil".... god of war and*
> > *destruction. Again, because of the lack of a backdrop*
> > *of antisemitism and all its horrific evels... their "Devil"*
> > *is considerably tamer than ours..... like Hitler for instance.*
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > *[Hammond]*
> > > > *Shiva is the Hindu version of the Christian "Devil".*
> > > > *Shiva is the "god of war and destruction".*
> > > > *Devil worship is probably even more prevalent in*
> > > > *India than it is in the West, however, the Hindus*
> > > > *being a less ruthless, treacherous and vicious people*
> > > > *than the barbarian west, their idea of a devil is*
> > > > *somewhat tamer than ours.*
> > >
> > > *Shiva is not the Christian Devil. Christian might want*
> > > *to paint Shiva that way but it simply isn't true.*
> >
> > *[Hammond]*
> > *I disagree. He cut off the head of Brahma for instance.*
> > *Is the God of war and destruction.... is known as the*
> > *"Destroyer" in Hinduism.*
> >
> >
> >
> > > > *I find these quotes from the web:*
> > > > *"Shiva is the destroyer of the world, following Brahma the creator*
and
> > > > *Vishnu the preserver, after which Brahma again creates the world and*
> > > > *so on. Shiva is responsible for change both in the form of death and*
> > > > *destruction and in the positive sense of the shedding of old habits.*
> > > > *In Satyam, Shivam, Sundaram or Truth, Goodness and Beauty, Shiva*
also
> > > > *represents the most essential goodness."*
> > >
> > > *When you take 'the shedding of old habits' and raise it*
> > > *to 'mythical proportions' it would probably look a lot like*
> > > *death and destruction. I tend to agree with Joseph*
> > > *Campbell who implies that a lot of myth has to do with raising*
> > > *the 'everyday' up to 'mythical proportions'.*

sci.physics: Re: Hammond sees the obvious?

> >
> > [Hammond]
> > Well.... everything I say is based on the discovery of the
> > "factual, axiomatic and experimentally proven" structure
> > of religion. Of course historical religion is not based on a scientific
> > discovery... it is based on centuries of guesswork, trial and error,
> > so it only "approximates" the "accurate" scientific discovery which
> > of course has been made after the fact.
> >
> >
> >
> > > "Lord Shiva is the third diety in the Hindu Triad (Brahma, Vishnu
and
> > > Shiva). Shiva represents the aspect of the Supreme Reality that
> > > continuously dissolves and recreates the universe. He is the Lord of
> > > Mercy and Compassion, since without the cyclic process of evolution
> > > souls could not evolve and attain liberation. Shiva is the consort
of
> > > Kali."
> > >
> > > In your usual habit, you did not check the facts.
> > >
> > > Have you tried to contact Dalai Lama about SPOG yet? Surely you must
> > > tell him that he actually worships God, and you can prove it.
> > >
> > > If George's proof is correct then he has proven that everyone is
> > > impacted by God whether they know it, and whether they
> > > worship anything.
> >
> >
> > [Hammond]
> > Yeah.... that is the AWESOME fact.
> > Here I'm dealing with hundreds of irate critics, all of
> > them under the complete control of "God" and not
> > one of them knows it or realizes what it is.
> > When you multiply that times 6-billion people in the
> > world.... you're talking a man sized problem.
> >
> > Do you know how easy it is to spook or scare a million,
> > 10 million or even 100 million half crazed, scared and
> > innocent and ignorant people who don't know what the name
> > of the game is, what God is... that even that there is a God...
> > or where we are and where we are going..... sheesh... people like
> > Hitler have been doing it for thousands of years.
> > Listen to some of these people.. they practically jump and down
> > with rage when you tell them you've discovered a proof of God.
> > If I didn't have faith in human nature... faith in the common sense
> > of the majority...I could easily start losing sleep over all this.
> > And thank christ for the Internet!
> > =====
> > SCIENTIFIC PROOF OF GOD WEBSITE

sci.physics: Re: Hammond sees the obvious?

- > > *1st mirror site:*
- > > http://geocities.com/scientific_proof_of_god
- > > *2nd mirror site:*
- > > <http://proof-of-god.freewebsitehosting.com>
- > > *new site (under construction):*
- > > <http://home.comcast.net/~proof-of-god>
- > > =====
- > >
- > >
- > >
- >
- >