

Re: Yes Science Needs God

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-08/8538.html>

From: Bill Hobba (*bhobba_at_rubbish.net.au*)

Date: 08/28/04

Date: Sat, 28 Aug 2004 00:44:37 GMT

"Eric Gisse" <fsegg@!SPAMuaf.edu> wrote in message
news:6dssi0t7s8c1gbjfmjuuodbitt83raapdh@4ax.com...

> On 26 Aug 2004 12:11:38 -0700, macromitch@internetCDS.com (Mitchell)

> wrote:

>

> > "robert j. kolker" <nowhere@nowhere.net> wrote in message
news:<2p5pudFgddgiU3@uni-berlin.de>...

> >> Mitchell wrote:

> >>> Science says a mindless lump created this universe. But who created

> >>> that so called "singularity?" Science won't admit God even when faced

> >>> with Him.

> >>> Mitch Raemsch

> >>>

> >>> And which God created God. Did He create himself? The concept is

> >>> empirically unsupportable, there for it must be expelled from science.

> >>>

> >>> Bob Kolker

> >

> >>The universe needs to be created. That is obvious. God doesn't.

> >>Your just another slimeball atheist trying to leave God out.

> >>Well you can't.

>

> Why does god not suffer the need to be created like the universe?

> Saying that the universe must be created but god must not derails your

> logic train.

Exactly as Bertrand Russell (and probably many others prior to him) pointed
out – the non existence of God is more in line with cause and effect.

Thanks

Bill

>

> OT: Stupid train decides to STOP after ~50 cars pass me, but leaves 2

> left. Get off the bus after several minutes of waiting, then I walk

> around the train, and get 20 feet before the fucking thing starts to

> move again. Rrrr.

sci.physics: Re: Yes Science Needs God

>
> >
> > *Tell me that a mindless lump is responsible for the intelligence*
> > *behind the universe(science).*
> > *Just try it. You'll make a fool out of yourself.*
> >
> > *Mitch Raemsch*
> > *-- Light Falls --*
>