

Re: Yes Science Needs God

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-08/8539.html>

From: Bill Hobba (*bhobba_at_rubbish.net.au*)

Date: 08/28/04

Date: Sat, 28 Aug 2004 00:50:52 GMT

"Gregory L. Hansen" <glhansen@steel.ucs.indiana.edu> wrote in message news:cglhtt\$dgr\$1@hood.uits.indiana.edu...

> *In article <9c3da975.0408261111.4322cc4b@posting.google.com>,*

> *Mitchell <macromitch@internetCDS.com> wrote:*

> > *"robert j. kolker" <nowhere@nowhere.net> wrote in message*

> > *news:<2p5pudFgddgiU3@uni-berlin.de>...*

> > > *Mitchell wrote:*

> > > > *Science says a mindless lump created this universe. But who created*

> > > > *that so called "singularity?" Science won't admit God even when faced*

> > > > *with Him.*

> > > > *Mitch Raemsch*

> > >

> > > *And which God created God. Did He create himself? The concept is*

> > > *empirically unupportable, there for it must be expelled from science.*

> > >

> > > *Bob Kolker*

> >

> > *The universe needs to be created. That is obvious. God doesn't.*

>

> *God needs to be created, that is equally obvious. Since he's been around*

> *since the beginning of time, and time didn't exist before the Big Bang,*

> *it follows that God was created in the Big Bang, along with the rest of*

> *the universe.*

I believe in the God of Spinoza – does that God need to also be created?

BTW according to inflation models something did exist before the big bang – the false vacuum. Your argument rests on God existing in time which, depending on you conception of God, may or may not be true.

Thanks

Bill

>

>

> --

> *"Suppose you were an idiot... And suppose you were a member of*

> *Congress... But I repeat myself." – Mark Twain*