

Re: Where does an infinitely large gorilla sit?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-09/2905.html>

From: Gregory L. Hansen (glhansen_at_steel.ucs.indiana.edu)

Date: 09/07/04

Date: Tue, 7 Sep 2004 00:38:40 +0000 (UTC)

In article <9X3%c.12654\$vy.1437@attbi_s52>, Spaceman <Spaceman@realspaceman.com> wrote:

>
> "*robert j. kolker*" <nowhere@nowhere.net> wrote in message
> news:2q3vtbFr3nt0UI@uni-berlin.de...
> } Make it easy. The entire plane is spanned by two perpendicular lines,
> } call them X and Y. The line X is infinite in length yet is a just small
> } part of the space.
>
> *So now you actually believe in smaller than Plank length?*

You sort of have to believe in quantum mechanics, first, before you can believe in the Planck length. Do you believe in the Planck length?

But the Planck length really comes under the heading of numerology. A bunch of fundamental constants thrown together that happen to work out to units of length. Maybe that means something, maybe it doesn't. I vote for doesn't. At least, not in the sense of a shortest possible length, although it might be worth something as an energy regime of quantum gravity.

--

"When the fool walks through the street, in his lack of understanding he calls everything foolish." -- Ecclesiastes 10:3, New American Bible