

# Re: Final Evidence: No 757 Hit The Pentagon

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-09/2933.html>

---

**From:** C-Fresh (*master\_at\_mason.snuh*)

**Date:** 09/07/04

Date: 7 Sep 2004 02:11:01 GMT

glennab1@aol.com (1aircraftQAguy) wrote in  
news:a83bb3a1.0409061641.43d548c7@posting.google.com:

> "C-Fresh" <master@mason.snuh> wrote in message  
> news:<Xns955C82C89FE47spampamsnuhspam33@207.14.113.17>...  
>> lensman1955@hotmail.com (lensman1955) wrote in  
>> news:633b063b.0409060210.18b93634@posting.google.com:  
>>  
>>> "AbsolutelyCertain" <easily@entertained.net> wrote in message  
>>> news:<chgb48\$b62\$0@pita.alt.net>...  
>>>> "lensman1955" <lensman1955@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>>>> news:633b063b.0409051618.29abdbfb@posting.google.com...  
>>>>> "AbsolutelyCertain" <easily@entertained.net> wrote in message  
>>>>> news:<chcr3s\$5fr\$0@pita.alt.net>...  
>>>>>> "C-Fresh" <master@mason.snuh> wrote in message  
>>>>>> news:Xns955A73D172AE7spampamsnuhspam33@207.14.113.17...  
>>>>>>> lensman1955@hotmail.com (lensman1955) wrote in  
>>>>>>> news:633b063b.0409040655.1a6f4b10@posting.google.com:  
>>>>>>>> What do you think it is and what do you base that on?  
>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>> I think it is smoke/exhaust, based on it's characteristics in  
>>>>>>>> the  
>>>>>>>> still  
>>>>>>>>> frames.  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>> In fact, it's patently obvious it is smoke.  
>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>> Someone said it was dust, but dust doesn't form tight little  
>>>>>>>>>>> contrails  
>>>>>>>>>>> like  
>>>>>>>>>>>> that. It's a more generalized cloud.  
>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>> Ralph said it was water vapor, which is even less believable.  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> Can you name a single piece of information external to the photo  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> which  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> can  
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> be used to substantiate any particular explanation of the

>>>> > *cloudlet?*  
>>>> >  
>>>> > *Answer yes or no: Do you know what it is?*  
>>>> >  
>>>> > *If you don't, then why are you presuming to tell other people*  
>>>> > *what you*  
>> *think*  
>>>> > *it is?*  
>>>> >  
>>>> > *Because this is usenet and we're allowed to tell anybody what we*  
>>>> > *think.*  
>>>>  
>>>> *Indeed. And then people will ask for a little something more than*  
>>>> *rank opinion. Ask him if he has any evidence that it's smoke ....*  
>>>> *or anything else, for that matter.*  
>>>>  
>>>> *Well, it IS something, that's obvious. The question is not; does the*  
>>>> *thing exist. The question is what is it and what's causing it. I*  
>>>> *don't believe it's a missile being fired by a killer jet. I think*  
>>>> *it's too well formed to be dust kicked up from the construction site.*  
>>>> *It might be smoke from an engine burning after knocking down a few*  
>>>> *lamp posts.*  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> *Why would dust form a tight contrail behind the object?*  
>>>>  
>>>> *For the short time frame we're talking about..... yes.*

Sure it would.

>> *And the lawn was not a construction site, furthermore.*  
>>  
> *Actually, the lawn had the construction storage area on it and there*  
> *was a dirt road/trail visible in some photos.*

Cite?

Of course not.

>> *No engine struck a post, either.*  
>>  
> *There was a witness report that the 757 hit a light post.*

Cite?

Of course not.

No 757 Hit The Pentagon  
<http://www.bedoper.com/eastman>

sci.physics: Re: Final Evidence: No 757 Hit The Pentagon

ASCE Report Proves No 757 Hit The Pentagon

<http://www.bedoper.com/pentagon/asce>

New Online Video Proves No 757 Hit The Pentagon

<http://www.freedomunderground.org/memoryhole/pentagon121.swf>

--

<http://BeDoper.com> - BeOS and a hell of a lot more  
Virgin Message Board

[http://bedoper.com/cgi-bin/plugins/BBS/bedoper\\_ubb/Ultimate.cgi?action=intro](http://bedoper.com/cgi-bin/plugins/BBS/bedoper_ubb/Ultimate.cgi?action=intro)

Gortician's Not Dead, Punk

<http://www.metal-archives.com/release.php?id=15537>