

Re: What before big bang?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-09/3989.html>

From: Dirk Van de moortel (dirkvandemoortel_at_ThankS-NO-SperM.hotmail.com)

Date: 09/09/04

Date: Thu, 09 Sep 2004 17:32:31 GMT

"YBM" <ybmess@noos.fr> wrote in message news:414086a8\$0\$7599\$636a15ce@news.free.fr...

> *Dirk Van de moortel a écrit :*

> > <http://ybmessenger.free.fr/docs/potpourri.html>

> >

> >

> > *Wow, some of this stuff could have been listed in Sokal and*

> > *Bricmont's overview:*

> > <http://human-nature.com/reason/books/sokal-bricmont.html>

> > *If you haven't read this one, please do. Many things will sound*

> > *very familiar.*

>

> *I know quite well this "affair", one of the more interesting*

> *events for epistemology of the last years. Ironically, the Bogdanov's*

> *work has been first taken as a hoax in the spirit of Sokal's one,*

> *in order to discredit theoretical physics.*

>

> *It was not a hoax, in the sense that the Bogdanov Brother really*

> *believe in their stuff, but in a sense it puts a discredit on*

> *theoretical physics anyway.*

Maybe you haven't had a close look at the link I gave.

This is a book where their hoax affair is merely briefly mentioned.

The main part of the book shows how contemporary well-known

French 'philosophers' abuse scientific language in the most stupid

and disgusting way (Deleuze, Irigaray, Lacan, Latour and others).

It –really– hurts. Do check it out...

Dirk Vdm