

# Re: Never have so many been so wrong about so much!

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-09/4297.html>

---

*From:* ZZBunker (zzbunker\_at\_netscape.net)

*Date:* 09/10/04

Date: 10 Sep 2004 02:42:43 -0700

Jack Sarfatti <sarfatti@pacbell.net> wrote in message  
news:<T960d.18203\$qA1.8341@newssvr29.news.prodigy.com>...  
> *You see these wrong ideas all over the place especially in the media and  
> the pop books.*  
> *Never have so many been so wrong about so much! On the other hand,  
> better wrong, than "not even wrong" (W. Pauli).*  
>  
> *Here is what is taught at Cal Tech from John Peacock's book  
> "Cosmological Physics" where I start this whole thing from. BTW  
> Peacock's theorem neglects vacuum coherence entirely and leads to the  
> cosmological constant problem. But Peacock ties together totally random  
> micro-quantum zero point virtual quanta and Einstein's gravity theory in  
> the correct way. Hal Puthoff & Co do not do so, but ignore the  
> constraints of Einstein's gravity theory completely. Also Mike Turner  
> does not have the idea of vacuum coherence either. All The Pundits who  
> think dark energy and dark matter are fundamentally different are  
> barking up the wrong tree. Both have  $w = -1$  but large dark matter globs  
> look like  $w = 0$  CDM in their gravity lensing!*

But having  $w$ =anything, has the singular property  
that it proves nothing about dark matter or dark energy.

Since the existence of dark \*matter\* is only  
posulated for Astronomers to hold a temporary  
job before being replaced by advanced non-lensing,  
non-QM Astronomy, and to hold a theory of \*Galaxies\*,  
not Black Holes together.

And "dark" energy is merely a postulate to hold QM's  
virtual "photons" together.

So neither has even the slightest relation of  
Einstein, gravity,  $E=MC^2$ , and the new-fangled physical  
concept of velocity-energy. Since both  
only concern the truly infinitely irrelevant  
Newtonian "momentum" of COSMO-TIME.