

## Re: Basic Acoustic Derivation/Proof Needed

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-10/2232.html>

---

**From:** The Ghost ([theghost\\_at\\_sbcglobal.net](mailto:theghost_at_sbcglobal.net))

**Date:** 10/08/04

Date: Fri, 08 Oct 2004 01:46:07 GMT

"Porky" <[noham@nospam.com](mailto:noham@nospam.com)> wrote in  
news:4yl9d.40131\$yp.22451@bignews1.bellsouth.net:

>  
> *"The Ghost" <[theghost@sbcglobal.net](mailto:theghost@sbcglobal.net)> wrote in message*  
> *news:Xns957BA34E7AF9Ftheghosthotmailcom@64.164.98.6...*  
>> *"Porky" <[noham@nospam.com](mailto:noham@nospam.com)> wrote in*  
>> *news:rW79d.283263\$%n4.19824@bignews6.bellsouth.net:*  
>>  
>>> *Ah, you're improving, that one was pretty good, and from the*  
>>> *character*  
>>> *and quality of your posts,*  
>>  
>>> *I am glad that you recognize and appreciate the improvement. Since*  
>>> *the character and quality of your posts served as the benchmark, you*  
>>> *are*  
>> *really*  
>>> *the one who rightfully deserves credit for the improvement.*  
>>  
>  
> *Thank you! Your decency and kindness are matched only by your*  
> *intelligence, and your wit, Zero equals zero, in other words.*  
>  
>>> *I deduce that your mouth and a male*  
>>> *bovine's anus have much in common as well.*  
>>  
>>> *I had no idea that swine were either capable of deduction or were so*  
>>> *knowledgeable about the male bovine anus. No doubt you are quite*  
>>> *adept at servicing all of the male bovine that line up at the swine*  
>>> *pen on a daily basis. What other farm animals suit your fancy?*  
>>  
>>> *The sum of what I know about a male bovine's anus is that it*  
>>> *produces the*  
>>> *same thing your mouth does, bullshit. As for what other farm animals I*  
>>> *like, that would be all of them, as long as they're properly cooked*  
>>> *and served with the appropriate side dishes.*  
>

sci.physics: Re: Basic Acoustic Derivation/Proof Needed

>>

>> *Bulls see red. Swine see bullshit. Neither correctly see reality.*

>

> *And ghosts, being nonexistent, are not a part of reality, therefore*

> *they*

> *cannot properly perceive reality, but we can see right through you.*

>

Thank you for this and your other enlightening series of exchanges. You have provided me with a great deal of insight into the mindset of the more technically-inept regulars in the audio groups. I now have at least some appreciation for why the level of technical discourse in the audio groups has been and continues to be so pathetic and abysmal.