

Re: Uncle assAI: (SR) Lorentz t', x' = Intervals

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-10/4795.html>

From: Jim Black (*ghytrfvbnmju7654_at_mail.com*)

Date: 10/17/04

Date: 17 Oct 2004 01:21:15 -0700

robert j. kolker wrote:

> *eleaticus* wrote:

>>

>> *Yes, indeed, inconsistencies of a purely mathematical LOGICAL nature are*

>> *implicit in SR. It is idiocy at its base.*

>

> *Any logical or mathematical inconsistencies in SR are inconsistencies in*

> *the theory of real variables and linear mappings of vectors spaces.*

In

> *short, ALL the mathematics used by ANY branch of physics is likewise*

> *inconsistent, which means ALL of physics comes crashing to the ground.*

>

> *But this is not the case. No one has identified any –internal–*

> *inconsistencies in SR. Now SR may be wrong, i.e. empirically falsifiable,*

> *but that is NOT the same thing as being internally inconsistent. SR*

is

> *just maxwellian electrodynamics in a Minkowski four–space subjected to*

> *Lorentz transformations. In a purely mathematical sense it is an*

> *exercise in group actions.*

>

> *Apparently you do not know the difference between empirical*

> *falsifiability and mathematical inconsistency. Your other postings on*

> *the invariance of Maxwell's equations under Galilean transform is*

> *indicative you do not know dicky–doo about mathematics. We know that*

> *Maxwell's equations are not microscopically true, but they are*

> *consistent and they are NOT galilean invariant.*

>

> *Bob Kolker*

I am posting this to the newsgroups only because I do not have your

e-mail address. Do not respond to this, unless by e-mail. The "ghytrfvbnmju7654" address is indeed a working address, but not my primary one.

Net.kooks of the scientific variety exhibit several stages of stupidity. In descending order, we have the Falsified, the Non-quantitative, the Inconsistent, and the Unintelligible. Webster/Eleaticus was Inconsistent from the start, but by now has descended into the very lowest category: That of the Spammer. Like George Hammond and "tj frazir," his statements are so ludicrous that the only way he thinks he can get anyone to take notice of his self-presumed genius is to post the same spew to as many newsgroups as he can, over and over again.

Webster has the distinction of being a Listed Spammer. He is on the list being maintained by Morturi-Max in the threads with the subject "Operation Troll Shoulder." Once a crackpot reaches the status of a Listed Spammer, we encourage that no replies be made to him at all.

Like most Spammers, he always tries to hide his spam under a cover of excuses. Webster's great contribution to the field was the practice of writing up a spam message in the form of an FAQ.

But what Webster is doing right now is a technique often used by George Hammond, that of crossposting a troll to a large number of newsgroups, and following up every single response, no matter what the nature of the response, with more spam. With this tactic, it is possible to run up hundreds of posts with essentially the same content in a few days, while appearing at a superficial glance to be a normal thread. Please do not help him.

--

Jim Black

"Wrong. In fact, They used the 'change of speed' definition [of acceleration] to make TV's and monitors at all, They also used a static charge changing direction of electron flow to make such" -- Spaceman