

## Dear Greenfield collection

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-12/1177.html>

---

**From:** Eckard Blumschein ([blumschein\\_at\\_et.uni-magdeburg.de](mailto:blumschein_at_et.uni-magdeburg.de))

**Date:** 11/25/04

Date: Thu, 25 Nov 2004 09:20:00 +0100

I refer to the "Greenfield collection" as to Sam W, uncle Al and all the other self-entitled Einsteinians who managed to drag away all attention from my original topic "Is physics really a matter of belief?" and to pleasure-seeking attack the admittedly somewhat strange views of Jim, not Edward, Greenfield.

Dear Greenfield collection,

May I ask for a serious discussion of my assertion that, relative to an observing object, future events do never influence past ones, therefore future spacetime can only be a matter of belief in extrapolation but it definitely evades any access, and exclusion of negative elapsed time would remove apparent symmetry and complex redundancy from physics.

I am convinced that my assertion is correct in ordinary physics. A final judgement which also includes micro- and macrocosmos is beyond my scope.

Sincerely,  
Eckard Blumschein