

Re: Gravitational Time Porat

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-12/2309.html>

From: Mitchell (*macromitch_at_internetCDS.com*)

Date: 11/29/04

Date: 29 Nov 2004 15:55:32 -0800

maporat@012.net.il (Y.Porat) wrote in message
news:<4e35159f.0411282357.1cf80166@posting.google.com>...
> *macromitch@internetCDS.com (Mitchell) wrote in message*
news:<9c3da975.0411281319.727cd54d@posting.google.com>...
>> *maporat@012.net.il (Y.Porat) wrote in message*
news:<4e35159f.0411280035.5d387a93@posting.google.com>...
>> *movement.*
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *Mitch Raemsch -- Light Falls --*
>>>>>>> -----
>>>>>>> *ok we start to agree*
>>>>>>> *but a little correction (incho)*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *not just the movement itself and alone but :*
>>>>>>> **movement comparison to some chosen movement *reference**
>>>>>>> *my assertion is on the *comparison* of those movements*
>>>>>>> *it is something *relative* not an independant physical entity.*
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> *I don't believe motion slows time Porat but change in motion alone*
>>>>>>> *slows time.*
>>>>>
>>>>> *now how do you know there is a change in motion ????*
>>>>>
>>>>> *you know it only if you *compare* it to some referenc emotion right ?*
>>>>>
>>>>> *No. There is a difference. Only the twin that accelerates will feel weight.*
>>>>> *That difference gives away who changed motion.*
>>>> -----
>>>> *???????*
>>>>
>>>> *I i though twe are dealing with time not with weight*
>>>> *what is your connection between weight and time?*
>>>>
>>>> *It should be pointed out that when there is accelerated motion*
>>>> *there is weight;*
>>>> -----
>>>> *i thought that when there is acceleration motion there is *force**
>>>> *in general not just weight*

- > *weight is just a private case.?*
- > *now what is that to do with time?*
- > *if acceleration causes change in motion*
- > *it causes change in 'time'*
- > *now do you want to suggest that *weight* is a tool to measure time??!*
- > *if yes – how ?*
- > *2 even if you have a system to measure time by weight ??*
- > *than it does not contradict to measure it by *comparison of movement**
- > *to the external chosen motion reference.*
- > *and it certainly cannot be without motion and comparison of motion.*
- >
- > *3 why complicate simple things ??*

Let's not.

What's the problem? I just pointed out that when things accelerate they experience weight in the opposite direction of their motion excluding freefall in gravity.

Mitch Raensch

- >
- > *excluding freefall. This part of the equivalence*
- > > *principle does not seem to be talked about – weight and change in*
- > > *motion. Speed up and you'll always experience weight.*
- > >
- > > > *the motion reference I talk about is *external* to the system*
- > > > *we test*
- > > > *Y.Porat*
- > > > -----