

Re: Why Hitler is a Hero

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2004-12/8623.html>

From: Mark Ferguson (*nunya_at_biz.ness*)

Date: 12/23/04

Date: Thu, 23 Dec 2004 12:27:10 -0700

Tom Potter wrote:

<snip>

- > *I suggest that "Mark Ferguson" sympathies (Prejudices?)*
- > *can be seen by reading excerpts from some of his posts.*

You bet. I'm highly prejudiced toward survival. Anything that threatens it must be dealt with as harshly as it threatens survival.

- > *Do the Muslims really think they can nuke Israel and India*
- > *and not devastate the entire Middle East? Do they really*
- > *think the U.S. and her allies won't stomp the hell out of*
- > *them even if they manage a perfect pre-emptive strike? Will*
- > *the rest of the world stand by and let it happen, knowing*
- > *full well they'll have to come in afterward and clean up the mess.*

What part of that do you dispute?

- > *Because we're rich, and they're not. Because*
- > *we're free, and they're not. Because primitive is*
- > *better than civilized (according to Liberals).*
- > *Because we (the West) had a Renaissance, and they*
- > *didn't. Because they think they're the only ones*
- > *qualified to define "evil" by their*
- > *misinterpretation of Islam.*

What part of that do you dispute?

- > *There is no point in "getting back"; revenge is a*
- > *pathetic, primitive, fundamentalist idea. As far as I'm*
- > *concerned, eliminating Islam (not Muslims) is simple*
- > *self-defense. Islam is flat-out dangerous to everyone*
- > *_including_ its adherents.*

What part of that do you dispute?

- > *Note what happened to territory the*
- > *Palestinians Arabs _used_ to live in; it*
- > *was ceded to Egypt and Jordan. So who*
- > *should "return" which land to the*
- > *Palestinians?*

What part of that do you dispute?

>> *Exactly what has Isreal done?*

- > *Mark L. Fergerson wrote:*
- >
- > *Tried to selectively kill the most bloodthirsty of the*
- > *"Palestinian" leaders, tried to build walls to keep the*
- > *bombers out, and so on, none of which works because the two*
- > *sides have two entirely different objectives.*

What part of that do you dispute?

- > *The Israelis want the "Palestinians" to stop trying to*
- > *kill all of them and build something resembling a society,*
- > *but the "Palestinians" are solely interested in*
- > *exterminating the Israelis.*

What part of that do you dispute?

> *Jan Panteltje wrote:*

- >>> *unfortunately its not likely to happen. Little things like the clearly*
- >>> *observable FACT that decades of repressive behaviour towards the*
- >>> *palestinians has NOT resolved the problem, but made it WORSE, seem to have*
- >>> *escaped the notice of successive israeli governments. so much for "an eye*
- >>> *for an eye" being of any practical use.*

- > *Mark L. Fergerson wrote:*
- >
- > *Um, Israel is backed up against the Mediterranean. What*
- > *impenetrable barrier are the "Palestinians" backed up against?*

What part of that do you dispute?

- > *And as can be seen,*
- > *Mark Fergerson" favors the annihilation of Muslims,*
- > *and he actively propagandizes that position.*

Wrong. I wrote (and you quoted above):

- > *As far as I'm*
- > *concerned, eliminating Islam (not Muslims) is simple*
- > *self-defense.*

Do you not see the difference?

- > *Of course, those killed and maimed*
- > *in the process will be Muslims,*
- > *and American Blacks, Rednecks and Latinos,*
- > *NOT the people who instigate conflict and war*
- > *for power and riches.*

Of course you don't.

Mark L. Fergerson