

# Re: Magnetic Monopoles Found? Dual-Slit Expe Explained?

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-01/11692.html>

---

**From:** Qion (*physicsofchi\_at\_yahoo.com*)

**Date:** 01/30/05

Date: 30 Jan 2005 15:29:46 -0800

AaronB wrote:

>  
> *I think they were telling you to go take some introductory physics  
> courses instead of jumping from one crank theory to another.*

I have to jump from one seemingly "crank theory" to another to look for hint or clue of the physics of qi. The present Standard model is either incomplete or may be wrong.

>  
>> *The American physicist is saying that magnetic monopoles exist.  
>> But current instruments can detect them because they exist in the  
>>  $V > C$  domain.  
>  
> I assume you want to say "current instruments can't detect them"*

Yes. Exactly.

>  
>> *You may say it's absurd because the mass would be  
>> infinite at speed of light and imaginary beyond. But what if we  
>> are dealing with a mass or substance that does not follow the law  
>> of relativity??  
>  
> Well, first, relativity isn't a law. It's a theory. The two words  
mean  
> two completely different things. Second, I don't think it is possible  
> for an object to not follow relativistic physics, since relativity is  
a  
> property of spacetime, not the object.*

I mean special relativity.. about light... Einstein said nothing that go faster than light... and objects can't be made to light speed because the mass would become infinite. But what

sci.physics: Re: Magnetic Monopoles Found? Dual-Slit Expe Explained?

if an exotic substance or mass already started out at the speed of light since the beginning of the universe, then it doesn't violate special relativity.

- >
- > *There is no evidence to support the existence of Qi, so your point is moot.*

Here is some physical effect of qi that can even control the rate of radioactive decay forward or backward.

[http://www.accessv.com/~yuan/yansci/time/2002\\_YanXin\\_Qigong\\_JSE.pdf](http://www.accessv.com/~yuan/yansci/time/2002_YanXin_Qigong_JSE.pdf)

A person told me that qi may be neutrinos as concentration of this can affect radioactive decays. Is there experimental finding what would happen if you focus intense neutrinos to radioactive substance. Will it increase their decay rate? Supposed, just supposed qi could really affect radioactive decay rate. What's the principles that can do that (just for the sake of discussion).

- >
- > *That's very nice, but there is no evidence to support this assertion.*
- > *What is this scientist's name again?*

William Tiller Ph.D. A top US qi researcher.

- > > *The etheric wave counterpart of the*
- > > *photon \*may be\* the explanation for how the photon can interfere*
- > > *with itself because the etheric wave travels at  $V > C$  and it can*
- > > *communicate this to its physical photon counterpart*
- >
- > *Interference is a property of waves. It is well understood without the*
- > *need for so-called "etheric waves."*

The commentor is saying that the wave part is a property of etheric something... like this is how superluminal communications can occur in Bell's Theorem performed by Alain Aspect which shows communication can occur faster than light. This may be thru the agency of etheric wave.

- >
- > *Quantum mechanics explains itself very well without etheric matter,*
- > *thank you very much.*

When it was realized nearly a hundred years ago that moving charges lost energy. They thought orbiting electrons can't be right. But since electrons are outside the nucleus. How do they behave. Then Schrodinger and Born nail Quantum Theory when they say it has something to do with probability

wave or amplitude. Now what really does the wave represent. It's not a real wave. Why is QM like that. It may be because matter is really dual with behavior of particle and wave with the latter having something to do with the etheric counterpart. While classical things like water wave can occur, the agency of etheric wave is for superluminal communication. We qi healer can detect that all objects have etheric counterpart. What if photon has an etheric counterpart too that is what creates its seeming superluminal behavior. Ordinary photons can be light speed but if you can control its etheric counterpart, it may explain the Alain Aspect experiment and others where faster than light properties seem to be occurring.

- >
- > > *I know qi has some relationship with electromagnetic field*
- > > *because power lines can produce or interact with qi.*
- >
- > *Then Qi is an electromagnetic effect, and would be easily detectable.*
- > *Do you know of any experiments that have tested this?*

It is related to electromagnetic. What Tiller is saying is that magnetic monopoles existed in ordinary electromagnetic but it being located in the etheric domain. This means our current instruments can only detect the EM part and not the Magnetolectric part which is invisible to scientists like you but which we qi healers can detect. Anyway. Here's what Tiller described them (note the he is referring to physical and etheric realm when he refers to "these conjugate 4-spaces"):

\*These conjugate 4-spaces are thought to bear a special "mir-ror" type relationship to each other, one being of the distance-time v-ariety and the other being of its inverse (a frequency domain). The for-mer is the home of the electric matter of positive mass travelling at v-elocities slower than the speed of light, c, while the latter is the h-ome of magnetic matter of negative mass travelling at velocities fa-ster than the speed of light, c. Images of the magnetic charges in the- "mirror" form magnetic dipoles in the distance-time-domain so that th-e interaction of the electric charges with these magnetic dipole images co-nstitute our convensional electromagnetism. Images of the electric ch-arges in the "mirror" form electric dipoles in the frequency domain so th-at the interaction of the magnetic charges with the electric dipole- images constitute another field of the magnetolectric variety in t-hat domain that is not observable by our convensional senses. To a clos-e approximation, the configuration of magnetic substance in the frequency dom-ain bears a "Fourier Transform" type of relationship with the co-nfiguration of electric substance in the

distance-time domain. It is the interaction between these "matters", as viewed from the distance-time side of the "mirror", that constitutes what we presently called Quantum Mechanics. Further, it is precisely the direct space/inverse-space pair of coordinate frames that lead to the wave/particle duality manifestations of nature on a microscopic level."

- >
- > > *Sensitives*
- > > *can still emit or work with qi even when inside a faraday's cage*
- > > *whereas all their abilities disappear when inside magnetic*
- > > *shielding area.. according to researches by scientists who*
- > > *studied the sensitives.*
- >
- > *Do you have a link to these studies?*

I'll find them and give it to you later.

- > > *Look. Physicists can hypothesize about*
- > > *QM Many worlds interpretations or even the M-Theory idea of*
- > > *parallel universes where each brane is composed of one universe.*
- >
- > *I have never heard of a theory that suggests the brain is composed of*
- > *one universe. That seems rather foolish, actually. All of these*
- > *theories are exactly that though: hypothesis. There is no*
- > *experimental*
- > *evidence to support them.*

Funny. Not brain, but brane or membrane. The august 2000 issue of Scientific American has these physicists saying that our universe form one membrane and parallel universe may be another membrane located just 1 millimeter away, and the reason smoke rising doesn't disappear into the another universe is because our 3D world is in a membrane. If you will read it. Tiller hypotheses about etheric and physical thing is less far off.

- > *For the record, by the way, did you come here about a year ago under*
- > *the name cinquirer asking about Qi?*
- >
- > A.
- >
- > >
- > > *Qion*

Because a year ago I wanted to understand the physics of qi. Then I thought I could understand it better by studying neuroscience and biology so I spend last year studying about non-physics subjects to enable me to understand the physics of qi better. Now i'm back to understand the physics of qi.

Qion