

Re: For Y.Porat from Sergey Karavashkin on Quantum Paradox

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-01/3467.html>

From: Y.Porat (maporat_at_012.net.il)

Date: 01/09/05

Date: 9 Jan 2005 03:01:15 -0800

Hi Sergey

thank you for your long answer

now let me humbly note and excuse me for sort of advising you:

you tend to make it 'too long' it seems that you have a lot of patience and time

you know as the vast spaces of Ukraine while you look at it and travel in it

you can relax and think very lengthily

maybe that is one of the secrets of the Russian people who could be so thorough

and make big breakthroughs by long patient analysis.

but

our western civilization for good and for worse is

The 'jet period' you know

anything is quick (and may be superficial)

so no reader I suppose in this age will read all your long articles

you must be much more concise!!

and get to the point very quickly like in our modern advertising systems

sometimes rather to start 'from the end' !!!

from the bottom line !

I didn't quite get what you said about the Vortex theory

anyway I never studied it and know nothing about it

I did my work independently and built a whole model of the nucleus and the atom.

now my Circlon is not valid only to the photon

it is hiding behind all my model structure

*of the nucleus *and the Atom*

it is my private understanding that this Circlon is actually

the basic unit that the whole world of matter and forces is built of

!!!

this for instance is the base to my innovative idea of

'The chain of orbitals' (did any Russian scientist ever suggest the idea

of 'chain of orbitals' ???

it is a chain of orbitals while each basic orbital is actually composed of those Circlons

now those strange orbital have the strange property of the ability to connect themselves as a longish chain of orbitals

and then you have a long chain that makes for instance the electron

btw lately i suggested the 'Eel model for the electron'

something eel like flexible many shapes able

if you get that idea then

absorption of photons or emitting of photons is self understandable

it is just 'peeling out' something that is built in the matter

ie emitting or absorbing of ----- Circlons.

very mathematically in a similar way that you are

absorbing and ejecting out Carbohydrates (:-

because it is just a part of your own building.

oops

i stated to adopt your system of being too long (:-

so back to photon refraction

if the photon is actually a conglomeration of permanently moving

Circlons

with the velocity of light

inside matter in closed orbitals (circles)

and if ejected somehow - in a forward helix movement.

until some particle 'particle shark' is swallowing it.

now

if photons are not just one circlon but many of them

who wonder that it can split and 'interfere with itself'

the frequency after the slit is the same for both split parts

but their mass is less than the original one

before entering the slit

oops i forgot to tell you that in my understanding

even the Circlon HAS MASS

enough until here ???

Bye and TIA

Y.Porat
