

Re: 1c+1c Closing Velocity of Light and Matter

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-01/3720.html>

From: The Ghost In The Machine (*ewill_at_sirius.athghost7038suus.net*)

Date: 01/10/05

Date: Mon, 10 Jan 2005 07:01:34 GMT

In sci.physics, Tom Capizzi

<tom.capizzi@verizon.net>

wrote

on Mon, 10 Jan 2005 05:12:08 GMT

<I8oEd.2570\$4b.287@trndny08>:

>

> "Henri Wilson" <H@..> wrote in message

> news:7mu3u0h6vkh99bf8onkf7go0rgurffheu6@4ax.com...

[snip]

>> *Time dilation has never been convincingly proved in clocks anywhere.*

>>

>

> *Liar.*

No, he is correct, within a certain scope; time dilation has never been convincingly proved *to him* in clocks anywhere.

Of course I for one consider NST-2 and certain muon and electron-positron experiments very good evidence for time dialation. There are also issues with supernovae.

>

>> *I suppose you believe jesus christ cured blind men too.*

>

> *Silly and irrelevant.*

>

>>

>>>>> *also need*

>>>>> *to know what fraction are still superluminal at ground level, since*

>>>>> *according to you*

>>>>> *they are not detected. And while you're at it, tell us how to detect a*

>>>>> *superluminal*

>>>>> *muon so we can repeat your experiment and confirm your results.*

>>>>>

>>>>

>>>> *I asked for hard data, not fairy tales. How much faster than light are you*

sci.physics: Re: 1c+1c Closing Velocity of Light and Matter

>>> *claiming, exactly? And what experiment can we try to confirm your claim?*

>>

>> *Light moves at c relative to its source.*

And to anyone else who cares to measure it. :-)

>> *That measn it moves at some ogether velocity wrt an observer*

>> *moving wrt the source.*

Not at all a foregone conclusion.

>> *That is obvious.*

Not as obvious as one might think. For example, it was once "obvious" that monsters inhabit the deep blue sea.