

Re: 4 prohumanist continued

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-01/8440.html>

From: jessie (pub_at_austin.com)

Date: 01/22/05

Date: Sat, 22 Jan 2005 11:19:26 GMT

On Sat, 22 Jan 2005 05:15:04 -0500, "robert j. kolker"
<nowhere@nowhere.net> wrote:

>
>
>*David wrote:*
>>
>> *Everything you see, sense, taste, feel, hear, smell is God. God is ALL*
>> *there is/was/will ever be. Science can only examine what is already*
>> *created.*
>
>*Plutonium which does not exist in nature was created (from other heavy*
>*elements to be sure) at Oak Ridge laboratories during WWII.*
>
>*Humans do not and cannot create matter or energy ex nihilo as that would*
>*violate several conservation laws. But that does not mean matter was*
>*created. It could have always existed.*
>
>*Bob Kolker*

Is that your scientific explanation? That matter might have just always existed? The truth is bobby, that neither you nor I have a clue as to the extent of matter and space and time and neither do the scientist with their "modern tools and gadgets."

Your faith and belief that matter has just always existed and that some big bang or expansion and contraction of this matter created the earth and literally millions of different life forms on it does appear as lacking to me, as does my faith that God created all of this appears lacking to you.

We both have only faith and belief in the end. Your faith and belief is that all this complexity is some gigantic accident and that millions of life forms spontaneously occurred at about the same time (on the scale of infinite time). I have faith and belief that the earth was created and that all this life was intentionally created by intelligence, not by a freaking accident of nature.

For all their posturing and prancing and postulating, scientists really don't know jack shit about all of this. Even those like Hawking who have minds that are far beyond mine, don't really know if there was a big bang, or if there was, if it was accidental or at the hand of a creator.

We both in our own way hide behind our different dogmas and cling to our faith. Your pretense that science makes for a better dogma is not convincing in the area of creation of matter, time, or space. These things are so far beyond our science as to make them appear silly and foolish, just as many consider by religious explanation to be silly and foolish.

You have reason, rational thought, very limited applications of the scientific method (a great tool in most research, but not up to the task at hand), and expansive and ever changing theories.

I have prophecy made and prophecy fulfilled over and over and over during a period of thousands of years. I have the testimony in the scriptures of hundreds of people who reportedly witnessed miracles, and experienced communication with God. I have the so very many aspects of this word that defy scientific explanation. I have the bible, which is about the most amazing book that there is. Most non-believers and most believers have never read it in a way that allowed them to understand all the subtlety, all the symbolism, all the parody, or all the allegorical revelations of the bible.

To do so requires knowledge of history that very few educated men have and almost no poorly educated men have. For history is written by those that win wars, and have dominate cultures, and who control access to the media and universities. Even recent history has been distorted almost beyond imagination.

However for those who take the time to find the more obscure historians and the alternative histories of major events, they will find support for all of this in the bible. The evil that preys on the world today was well known and revealed thousands of years ago in the bible. Even people who read the bible often refuse to acknowledge what it reveals of evil for we have been so brainwashed by political correctness that we can't see the forest for the trees. (Sorry for the cliché, but it fits too well to avoid it).

The bottom line is that I truly believe that Christianity has far greater support than any scientific theory of creation, existence, and matter, and time. Flawed as a leap of faith may be in your eyes, it is a much smaller leap of faith than the one you have chosen to make.

I also find it curious that so many non-believers spend so very much time in religious groups trying so very desperately to convince themselves that we Christians are wrong. My guess is you are here for one of two reasons. One is your insecurity about your own faith or

lack thereof or two you have some agenda that benefits from damaging Christianity. Muslims and Jews and some radical atheists often spend much of their lives critiquing and casting aspersions on Christianity to try and advance their own political agendas.

We see the evidence of this in the recent incendiary attacks on Christianity and Christian symbols from the ACLU and the media and a small, but well financed minority that is attacking Christianity at every opportunity.

So which are you bob that you spend so much time discussing a religion you profess to not believe in?

I can usually tell which such writers are simply by their writing style and what they say, but I am occasionally wrong. Don't think for one minute that your words do not reveal who and what you are and why you are here.

jessie

"The worst form of inequality is to try to make unequal things equal."
— Aristotle, Greek critic, philosopher, physicist, & zoologist (384 BC – 322 BC)

jessie

"The worst form of inequality is to try to make unequal things equal."
— Aristotle, Greek critic, philosopher, physicist, & zoologist (384 BC – 322 BC)