

sci.physics: Re: Corresponding with an old IITKGP friend, re "modern physics"

Re: Corresponding with an old IITKGP friend, re "modern physics"

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-02/1201.html>

From: Richard Harter (cri_at_tiac.net)

Date: 02/02/05

Date: Wed, 02 Feb 2005 18:55:09 GMT

On 2 Feb 2005 08:12:27 -0800, "Last Timer" <dakshing64@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Thanks for posting an interesting discussion. It seems to me sun is
>acting like an optical lens to collect all the star light. The so
>called fusion is all about intermixing light of various
>wavelengths/frequencies so much of it comes out in the visible range.
>Ancient Indians considered sun as a planet (at least in the navagraha
>stotram). If you assume planets as perfect spheres you end up with the
> $g=0$ in the core which is a fallacy. Floating at the core seems so
>unreal. Why don't the physicists dig a tunnel to the core instead of
>building accelerators orthogonal to the radius? It seems a majority of
>physicists are skeptical about the spherical earth. They are afraid by
>building a tunnel to the core they will end up creating catastrophes on
>the surface. The oil companies in their exploration have gone closer to
>the core than the physicists. That's why they are so well rewarded with
>rich oil and gas to supply the energy needs of the growing world. Now
>the speculators will say that the earth is running out of oil reserves.
>And we have to quickly find a way to generate energy cheaply possibly
>using sun light. I tend to think that the oil not in the core
>speculators are causing political shifts to jinx the scientific
>progress.

[snip]

I like your style sir. Might I by chance reprint your contribution on my web site. It would go in my "The Lucy Van Pelt School of Science" section.

Richard Harter, cri@tiac.net

<http://home.tiac.net/~cri>, <http://www.varinoma.com>

All my life I wanted to be someone;

I guess I should have been more specific.