

Re: Magnetic Monopoles Found? Dual-Slit Expe Explained?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-02/2672.html>

From: Y.Porat (maporat_at_012.net.il)

Date: 02/06/05

Date: 6 Feb 2005 03:17:22 -0800

Qion wrote:

- > *Y. Porat,*
- >
- > *Aside from the electromagnetic and gravitational*
- > *(do you believe in gravitons?) forces that extend*
- > *outside the atom (with the weak and strong forces*
- > *confined inside the nucleus). There is another force*
- > *(a 5th force) not yet discovered by science. This force*
- > *can combined with the 5th force of other atoms forming*
- > *some kind of collective holographic field that can*
- > *contain non electromagnetic information. This is*
- > *what our bioetheric bodies is made of. I can't*
- > *establish the mechanism whether the 5th force is*
- > *created within the nucleus or outside in the electron*
- > *clouds or welling up from another dimension. If you*
- > *have any ideas, pls. share it.*

Hi Qion

i can speak only about things that i had the minimal
subsranciations
so i make a distinct limit between substantiated
(at least minimal in the legitimate scintific tools
even sometimes bery basic 'forgoten and 'despised tools'
ie tols that for qm is not too snobish.....(:-)
so my geometric structure of the nuc and the Atom
is nicely substantiated and cannot be ignored
that i would say is my stringest part
now that findings leded me so some other
basic assumptions that are stemming out of it
but yet not properly substantioated
in that case i call it *posulates*
there ar eother categories that i call
basic physics common sense
fo rinstance
anhy physical entity thast we sense with our senses

directly or indirectly – must have mass!!
therefore i dont 'buy' the virtual photons
that 'have no mass' 'have no mass is for me
someone who have no basic understanding about the world
of matter or in the worse case is cheating
and posing that he has a comprehansive solution
beside the accidental matemathical success.
so
on eof my postulates is that there is a basic particle
not discovered yet that
moves naturally in a closed circle
now it is my private believe that such basic particle
can be
'the mother af any matter entity ans at the same time
the mother of forces!!
thyat basic particle can move in a very small raduis
and then make the strong and heavy elements and forces
while
that same Circlon' can move in a huge radius and then
make the 'gravitons'
and there are intermediate cases
that are forming the strong, medium electric weal
etc etc just depends on the radious and their concentrations
so what you call a 'fifth force' is realy possible!!
now i am not sure i undestood you prperly
about your Qi??
if you mean something in the living organ i say
stop!!! (-:-)
that is out of limit to me (in physics)
because as you know the inorganic world is
much much simpler than the organic world(nothing to compare!!)
while in the organic world i am just an ignorant
as the medium peerson on this globe
di di made myself a bit more clear

TIA
Y.Porat

You know what.

- > *Gravity exists yet science can't detect how atoms*
- > *produce it (This is what the General Relativity*
- > *addresses). The virtual particles concept can't*
- > *work with gravitons because the equation can*
- > *produce huge infinities, etc. This is why Quantum*
- > *Gravity is an open mystery. Science can believe in*
- > *Gravity even if they can't see them. Likewise, we qi*
- > *healers believe in qi energy because we work with*
- > *them. Just like gravity, qi can't be seen. Yet*
- > *scientists reject them outright without second*
- > *thought causing the deep suffering of millions*

- > of medical patients worldwide.
- >
- > *Hmm.. If they are working with Quantum Gravity. They*
- > *must also work with Quantum Qi or call it The double*
- > *Q. Only when they solve both Quantum Gravity and*
- > *The Double Q that the Theory of everything can come*
- > *out. Who knows. Maybe Quantum Qi is the missing*
- > *parameter that can make them unify the 4 forces by acknowledging the*
- > *existence of a 5th force that we qi*
- > *healers know exists. I just got Brian Greene book*
- > *"Fabric of the Cosmos" a few hours ago and will*
- > *start reading it now to see how to insert the*
- > *physics of qi inside it.*
- >
- > *Qion*
- >
- > *Y.Porat wrote:*
- > *> i only now monitored my name on this thread*
- > *> so i would lkiek to make some correction:*
- > *>*
- > *> my model does not contradict the standard model--*
- > *> *all laong*!!*
- > *> my claim agianst the sm is that it is too abstract*
- > *> to be useful as a leadinf model should be!!*
- > *> ans my rejections are here and tther not against*
- > *> the verified parts of SM*
- > *> it is against some wrong *interpretations*
- > *> and wrong jumping into conclusions**
- > *> for instance*
- > *> that in a heavy atom the numer of electrons must be*
- > *> exactly as that of protons*
- > *> that the nuc is sperical*
- > *> etc etc*
- > *> all those last ones are just *private inter[retations'*
- > *> of some asserive peiople that has nothing*
- > *> substantiated experimentally*
- > *> it is just 'filling up' private imaginations in [places*
- > *> that unknowns exist.*
- > *> -----*
- > *> all the best*
- > *> Y.Porat*
- > *> -----*