

## Re: What evidence is there for a continuous space-time?

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-02/3078.html>

---

**From:** Franz Heymann ([notfranz.heyman\\_at\\_btopenworld.com](mailto:notfranz.heyman_at_btopenworld.com))

**Date:** 02/07/05

Date: Mon, 7 Feb 2005 11:30:15 +0000 (UTC)

<zzbunker@netscape.net> wrote in message  
news:1107754448.168913.317370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...

[snip]

- > *Since physicists as usual have no evidence*
- > *of quantum mechanics in it's entirety of*
- > *being even close to a reality, just as*
- > *Einstein told them in 1920s.*

You appear to be unaware that the bulk of all the new technologies of the 20th century is based on QM

You appear to be unaware of the fact that there is not one solitary prediction made by using quantum mechanics which, when subjected to experimental observation, gave results in disagreement with the predictions

You appear to be unaware of the fact that some of those predictions are tested around 2,000,000,000 times per second in your computer.

You appear to be unaware of the fact that QM and SR are the two most successful theories ever dreamt up by man.

You appear to be unaware of the fact that you are one big ignoramus.

[snip]

Franz