

Re: Is Ken Seto Genius or Madman?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-02/6030.html>

From: Bjoern Feuerbacher (feuerbac_at_thphys.uni-heidelberg.de)

Date: 02/12/05

Date: Sat, 12 Feb 2005 18:36:38 +0100

A.S. wrote:

- >
- > *Anyone familiar with Ken Seto model of Absolute Motion*
- > *in the Aether. He uses it to explain the Double Slit*
- > *experiments, Photoelectric experiments, and Comptons*
- > *experiments as shown in the following site:*
- >
- > <http://my.erinet.com/~kenseto/pastexperiments.htm>

He **claims** to explain these experiments. But actually, he only presents a lot of empty rhetoric and handwavings – no actual (quantitative) explanations.

- > *When he described absolute motion in the Aether. Does*
- > *he mean we are all moving at the Aether nonstop?? What*
- > *made us move at all?? This is a weird Aether concept.*
- >
- > *For those who have completely debunked the model of*
- > *Ken Seto. Can you mention one experiment or principle*
- > *that disprove his Absolute Motion principles that*
- > *tried to replace the theories of Einstein??*

Michelson–Morley.

Seto's claim that this experiment showed a null result because "the apparatus moves vertically with respect to the light rays" is complete utter meaningless nonsense.

- > *For those not familiar with Ken Seto. The following*
- > *is his paper where he claimed it may be the 21th*
- > *century greatest scientific achivement.*
- >
- > <http://www.journaloftheoretics.com/Links/Papers/Seto.pdf>

Like most crackpots, he is really full of himself.

- > *Is Ken Seto the next Einstein or he is simply a madman?*

sci.physics: Re: Is Ken Seto Genius or Madman?

Neither nor. Simply seriously deluded, and overestimating his own intellect.

Bye,
Bjoern