

## Re: Debunking Ken Seto in progress....

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-02/8249.html>

---

*bryant\_j\_j\_at\_yahoo.com*

**Date:** 02/17/05

Date: 16 Feb 2005 18:23:21 -0800

hey Arnold "A.S." Schroedinger, let's say you stick to one nick from now on without the syllable Qi in it. Bilge has pointed out to you that what nick you use does not really matter, if you do have interesting questions or raise an interesting matter, people like Bilge, Al, Bjoern, Heymann, etc will definitely be interested in pursuing a discussion with you. as for "Seto theory" (instead of Seto's theory which is very characteristic of you), people have long ago pointed out the crankiness in this theory, and i dunno why the hell you would want to pursue this matter any further. rather than reading "Seto stuff", may be better to read the Feynmann Lectures or a novel by Aldous Huxley or somebody. bubyee chum.