

sci.physics: Re: Peak oil is an balloon, let's break it.

Re: Peak oil is an balloon, let's break it.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-02/8456.html>

From: N:dlzc D:aol T:com \(\dlzc\) (*net_at_nospam.com*)

Date: 02/17/05

Date: Thu, 17 Feb 2005 08:40:21 -0700

As I understand this argument, oil is purportedly produced naturally somewhere under surface of the Earth. I would assume that there would then be no use in doing C-14 analysis, since the source of the carbon would no longer contain significant traces of atmospherically-produced carbon. I would also assume then that arguments relating to how much oxygen has been liberated by plant matter would be moot, since this oil is *in excess* of the matching amount of plant matter (which is now on/near the surface of the Earth).

Isn't the real problem that it has taken so many million years, by whatever source, to produce this oil? I notice that there are no abandoned wells that have filled back up.

David A. Smith