

Re: Is Ken Seto Genius or Madman?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-02/9125.html>

From: kenseto (kenseto_at_erinet.com)

Date: 02/18/05

Date: Fri, 18 Feb 2005 20:24:36 GMT

"Mark Fergerson" <nunya@biz.ness> wrote in message
news:%I6Rd.32829\$6u.27726@fed1read02...

> kenseto wrote:

>> "Mark Fergerson" <nunya@biz.ness> wrote in message

>> news:izIPd.21589\$6u.6591@fed1read02...

>>

>>> kenseto wrote:

>>>

>>>> "A.S." <arnoldschrod68@go.com> wrote in message

>>>> news:1108246357.454845.92340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

>>>>

>>>>> kenseto wrote:

>>>>

>>>> <brevity snip>

>>>>

>>>>> You stated in your paper that there is only one particle in the

>>>>> universe called S-Particle and the way it moves around the

>>>>> E-String give rises to all the particles and forces in physics.

>>>>> You also mentioned about E-Matrix.

>>>>

>>>>> The E-Matrix is a term used to represent all the E-Strings

>>>>> occupying all of space. IOW the E-Matrix is space.

>>>>

>>>> And this "E-matrix" must not move in whole or in part, otherwise

>>>> there's no background against which to measure Absolute Motion.

>>>> Right?

>>>>

>>>>> Not right. Absolute motion is that motion of an object (an S-Particle

>>>>> or an S-Particle system) wrt the wave packets (photons) in the

>>>>> E-Matrix. Each of these wave packets are being transmitted by the

>>>>> neighboring E-Strings at a speed c.

>>>>>

>>>>> Ah, you have Absolute Motion wrt light.

>>>>>

>>>>> How can anything be transmitted from one E-string to a "neighboring"

>>>>> one if the E-strings fill all of space? What's between the E-strings?

sci.physics: Re: Is Ken Seto Genius or Madman?

Lights are wave-packets in E-Strings. Each wave-packet (a photon) is composed of blocks of waves in neighboring E-Strings and these blocks of waves move coherently along these neighboring E-Strings at speed c to give the apparent of a particle. This is the reason why light appears to have duality characteristics. For a description of a photon please visit my website and click on to the section entitled "Past Experiments Detecting Absolute Motion".

<http://www.erinet.com/kenseto/book.html>

There is void between the E-Strings.

>

> >>>> *So in your hypotheses that we are all moving with respect to*

> >>>> *the Aether.*

> >>

> >>> *The E-Matrix is the aether. The E-Matrix is stationary and*

> >>> *elastic. It can be deformed with the passage of particles and the*

> >>> *deformation is recovered after the passage of the S-Particle.*

> >>

> >> *Then the part of this "E-matrix" in the act of being deformed or*

> >> *recovering from a deformation has motion WRT the part(s) of it not*

> >> *being deformed or recovering from a deformation.*

>

> > *No....there is no motion between different regions of the E-Matrix.*

> > *Any local deformation becomes global deformation due to the fact that*

> > *the E-Strings are elastic and are repulsive to each other.*

>

> *What definition of "deform" are you using that does not include motion*

> *of component parts wrt each other?*

You push on a piece of rubber you get deformation (distortion) throughout the rubber. That's what I mean by deformation (distortion)

>

> *How can a deformation propagate in a medium whose parts are incapable*

> *of moving wrt each other?*

The deformation will move along with the particle that deforms the surrounding E-Strings

>

> *FTM, what do you propose as the propagation velocity of these*

> *deformations?*

The deformation move at the same speed as the motion of the particles in the E-Matrix.

>

> >> *How do you tell if the part of this "E-matrix" you're doing your*

> >> *measurements in isn't already deformed and by how much?*

>

> > *The S-Particle system is doing the measurements. The measurement*

> > *result is dependent on the deformation of the E-Strings to which the*

> > *S-Particle system is confined.*

>

Re: Is Ken Seto Genius or Madman?

sci.physics: Re: Is Ken Seto Genius or Madman?

- > *Then it can also measure the deformation of "neighboring" E-strings*
- > *via the photons propagated from them.*

Yes it measures the deformation as well as the wave-packets that are being transmitted by the E-Strings.

- >
- >> *IOW, if an "S-particle" is orbiting an already-deformed "E-string",*
- >> *its motion must differ from that of one orbiting an undisturbed*
- >> *"E-string".*
- >
- >> *An orbiting S-Particle will react to the deformation or waves in the*
- >> *E-String(s) to which it is confined by the orbiting motion. This*
- >> *reaction of the orbiting S-Particles to the geometry of the E-Strings*
- >> *is the observed action-at-a-distance.*
- >
- > *How does the geometry of the E-strings change without them moving wrt*
- > *each other?*

They all deform together as the particles are moving through them.

- >
- >>>> *Is the E-Matrix itself moving too*
- >>>
- >>>> *No...the E-Matrix comprised of the E-Strings is not moving. That*
- >>>> *means that the E-Strings are not moving. But thye E-Strings can*
- >>>> *be deformed (or distorted) by the passage of the S-Particles.*
- >>>
- >>> *Then when "deformed", some part of it has motion WRT the rest of*
- >>> *it. How do you tell if the part of space you're doing your*
- >>> *measurements in isn't already "deformed"?*
- >
- >> *I already explained this earlier.*
- >
- > *No, you didn't. How can anything deform when its constituent parts*
- > *cannot move wrt each other?*

The E-Strings are repulsive to each other. So if one is deformed the other next to it will also be deformed.

- >
- >>>> *or is it just the E-String or S-Particle?*
- >>>
- >>>> *Only the S-Particles are moving in the stationary E-Matrix.*
- >>>
- >>> *Except those "E-strings" in the act of being deformed, or*
- >>> *rebouncing from that deformation.*
- >
- >> *No...the E-Matrix is like a giant rubber ball if one region is*
- >> *distorted the whole ball is distorted.*
- >
- > *Poor analogy. The parts of a rubber ball do indeed move wrt each other.*

sci.physics: Re: Is Ken Seto Genius or Madman?

You can say that if you want.

- >
- > >>>> *And how the hell would S-Particle moving around the E-String in*
- > >>>> *a moving E-Matrix (?) create matter?*
- > >>
- > >>>> *An S-Particle orbiting around an E-String in the counterclockwise*
- > >>>> *orbiting motion is negatively charged particle such as the*
- > >>>> *electron.*
- > >>
- > >> *"Counterclockwise" as seen from which end? Does your system give*
- > >> *Absolute Direction as well as Absolute Motion?*
- >
- > > *There is no outside observer. Each orbiting S-Particle will react*
- > > *with another orbiting S-Particle according to the direction of*
- > > *orbiting motion.*
- >
- > *Who said anything about outside observers? I take your explanation to*
- > *mean another S-particle orbiting the same E-string.*
- >
- > *Here's an E-string with an orbiting S-particle:*
- >
- > ^
- > |
- > -----S-----
- >
- > *The arrow indicates that its orbiting that seen from the left end of*
- > *the E-string is counterclockwise. However, seen from the right-hand end,*
- > *it's orbiting clockwise.*

The S-Particle is in a left handed (counterclockwise) or a right handed (clockwise)cork screw like motion along an E-String. This is also known as chirality. To us as an observer the S-Particles of an electron is in a state of counterclockwise orbiting motion around an E-String.

- >
- > *BTW, if the E-matrix fills all of space, where's there room for the*
- > *S-particles to orbit the E-strings?*

Between the E-Strings and the S-Particles and the E-Strings are repulsive to each other.

- >
- > > *If they are orbiting in the same direction then they will attract to*
- > > *each other. If they are orbiting in the opposite direction then they*
- > > *will repel each other. I assigned the counterclockwise orbiting*
- > > *motion to represent a negatively charged particle because an electron*
- > > *neutrino shows a left handed cork screw (counterclockwise) like*
- > > *motion in space.*
- >
- > *Why didn't you just say that? BTW, neutrinos are right-handed when*
- > *seen from behind. They don't move at c.*
- >
- > >>>> *An S-Particle orbiting around an E-String in a clockwise orbiting*

Re: Is Ken Seto Genius or Madman?

sci.physics: Re: Is Ken Seto Genius or Madman?

- > >>> *motion is a positively charge particle such as the up-quark.*
- > >>
- > >> *"Clockwise" as seen from which end? Does your system give Absolute*
- > >> *Direction as well as Absolute Motion?*
- >
- > > *See above explanation.*
- >
- > *Fine, except seen from the other end of the string it's going*
- > *counterclockwise. Is it therefore negatively charged on that side?*

No...the negative charged particle is a left handed cork screw orbiting motion. This is true no matter which end you are looking at it.

- >
- > *Mark L. Fergerson*