

Re: Epistemology 201: The Science of Science

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-03/11115.html>

From: Richard Herring (*junk_at_[127.0.0.1]*)

Date: 03/21/05

Date: Mon, 21 Mar 2005 16:55:44 +0000

In message <1yC%d.14949\$Fy.3498@okepread04>, Albert Wagner <albertwagner@cox.net> writes
>*Richard Herring wrote:*
>> *In message <UHB%d.14946\$Fy.12597@okepread04>, Albert Wagner*
>><albertwagner@cox.net> writes
>>
>>> *Giuseppe Bilotta wrote:*
>>> <snip>
>>>
>>>> *Yeah, gee, it's not like I actually expected an answer. Trolls are*
>>>>*trolls, after all.*
>>>>
>>> *Quit crossposting to philosophy NGs, and prove thereby that *you**
>>>*are not the troll.*
>> *Isn't he just following up to Zick's choice of crossposted*
>>*newsgroups?*
>>
>*The conversation has wandered far afield of Zick's topic.*

I defer to your superior knowledge. Most people here haven't a clue what his topic was in the first place, still less what it has mutated into.

> *Since when does anyone on sci.math feel obligated to go with anyone*
> *else's opinion as to who should be crossposted?*

It's usually done as a courtesy to the audience, since one doesn't know which groups they are following. To be sure, in this particular case it would probably be a greater courtesy to stop posting at all.

> *Or is that willingness to go with the flow just endemic to*
> *mathematicians who can't think for themselves?*

I wouldn't know. What's a "mathematician"?

--

Richard Herring