

Re: black holes and intelligent design

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-03/1156.html>

From: Dave P. (davep_at_home.com)

Date: 03/02/05

Date: Wed, 02 Mar 2005 00:19:50 -0500

On Mon, 28 Feb 2005 21:55:59 GMT,
bruce.sinclair@NOSPAMagresearch.NOTco.NOTnz (Bruce Sinclair) wrote:

>In article <1109623577.667275.27550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>, "manutter51@yahoo.com"
<manutter51@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>HLS wrote:

>>

>>> While I believe there is a Supreme Creator (whatever It might be), I

>>have

>>> extreme problems with any 'religion' which must resort to tethers of

>>blind

>>> faith

>>> to make itself work when the scientific method threatens it.

>>

>>I have problems with any religion that is even in danger of being

>>threatened by the scientific method. All the scientific method does is

>>help us separate what we *think* is out there from what is really out

>>there. It is a threat only to ignorance, delusion, and undue trust in

>>one's subjective opinions.

>

>... or to put it another way, it's a threat to faith :)

Indeed... which is why it is no amusingly ironic when people try to
use the scientific method to prove their faith. It's not just moronic,
its oxymoronic :)

Dave P.

>

>

>Bruce

>

>

>

>It was so much easier to blame it on Them. It was bleakly depressing to
>think that They were Us. If it was Them, then nothing was anyone's fault.
>If it was Us, what did that make Me ? After all, I'm one of Us. I must be.
>I've certainly never thought of myself as one of Them. No-one ever thinks
>of themselves as one of Them. We're always one of Us. It's Them that do

sci.physics: Re: black holes and intelligent design

>the bad things. <=> Terry Pratchett. Jingo.

>

>Caution ===== followups may have been changed to r