

Re: Bad News for 'Moon Hoax' Buffs

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-03/11596.html>

From: Brad Guth (ieisbradguth_at_yahoo.com)

Date: 03/22/05

Date: 22 Mar 2005 09:01:13 -0800

tj Frazir,

Super terrific image:

<http://nssdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/planetary/lunar/luna9close.gif>

This is pretty much depicting as recorded by other telephoto obtained images from orbit, as offering a relatively dark surface that's having lots of contrast via a point-source of illumination and loads of strewn impact shards just about everywhere you'd care to look. The fact that the probe obtaining this image vanished under meters of dark moon dust stands to reason as well.

Unfortunately, you're still into communicating and/or attempting to communicate with yet another incest cloned borg (Skull and Bones member in good standing of the NASA/Apollo high collective), and these individuals are each every bit as hard-wired with the potentially lethal nondisclosure V-Chip that will self-destruct within their collective little brain that's situated somewhere between their butt-cheeks. Before ever admitting to so much as one perpetrated cold-war damn thing is when the internal V-Chip implodes.

Some of these folks are nearly exactly a DNA/RNA match to our resident 'so what's the difference' warlord(GW Bush), whereas these borgs might very well have resolved all issues with regard to orgin sharing, they otherwise have absolutely no remorse along with all the collateral damage and carnage of the innocent to prove it.

Thus if you start to see another GW Bush smirk or otherwise hear any sign of their intellectual VX flatulence, get yourself as far upwind as possible. In other words, this pun is not a test of our civil defence warning system because, it's far more real than those WMD.

As far as I can tell by hard-science and them pesky darn laws of physics, there's no place to be found that's dustier than our moon, and just as downright nasty as you have stipulated about it's coal like dark and collected stuff that's not only proof-positive reactive in producing those secondary/recoil photons of hard-X-rays but also meters deep in places (unfortunately, it's not the least bit retro-reflective as for creating those illumination hot-spots nor is it worth a damn with regard to clumping).

Perhaps a good reason why the orbit detected thermal conditions are sticking to the 123°C ruse is due to their having poor resolution, thus averaging in the somewhat more reflective and otherwise disregarding the surrounding surface thermal conductive aspects without taking into account the heavy atmospheric elements that are invisibly cloaking our moon in a clear lens the likes of CO₂, Ar, Kr, Xe and possibly even a touch of Rn having a half-life of perhaps 92 hours means there needs to be a good amount of ongoing replenishment via radium (Ra226 half-life of 1600 years) or via some other process, whereas under such a clear insulative blanket is where those moon-dirt and vacuum insulated near coal black rocks are individually roasting at something greater than 123°C by day. But again without a stitch of interactive surface probe science to being had, there's no viable method other than by way of something that'll offer the IR spectrum of what's better than a few m/pixel resolution, or of course an actual probe inserted into a given moon-rock would be asking too much.

Whereas just like here upon Earth, in the noonday desert sun that's beating down upon that black Lamborghini that's getting a whole lot hotter than the surrounding sand, and eventually inside the Lamborghini is where in spots it has gotten even hotter yet. Fortunately there is such a thing as IR reflective glass that'll cut roughly 50% of the available IR energy from getting through (although that wasn't the case regard to those moonsuits of the late 60s), but given time and nowhere for the core heat to go is not going to make the interior of that Lamborghini any cooler until well past sunset...

BTW; adding a few hundred spare BTUs/hr from the occupant of that Lamborghini isn't exactly going to help keeping that beer cold, although once past the 37°C mark it's not going to much matter for long. At 50°C and fresh out of cold beer, you've got perhaps a dripping sweat worth of an hour to kiss your sorry moonsuit butt goodbye. Much above 75°C and at 5 psi there could even be spontaneous human combustion, certainly at near vacuum there shouldn't much question as to what their law of physics end result is going to be capable of producing that nicely sauteed astronaut ala-moonsuit, as for whatever's H₂O is going to flash into less than thin air before whatever's left of those TBI onlooking eyes. Gee whiz folks, sounds like a real nice place to never visit, at least not by day.

Of course, my lunar terraforming notions of bombing our moon with the likes of dry-ice and frozen Rn, besides creating whatever horrific impacts worth of vaporising lunar basalt into releasing 1e6:1 worth of O₂, the very nature of the delivered CO₂ might revert to just good old C and O₂, whereas the Radon should vanish in a few days, unless we'd replaced that lunar bombing of frozen Rn with the likes of Ra226 which might react quite nicely with the already available He3 into making a nifty long-term supply of Rn. After the Ra226 is sufficiently depleted, say in 6400 years it should be at 1/16th of it's initial potency, and by then having a good amount of terraformed atmosphere becoming the case, there shouldn't be hardly any significant threat for naked humans

on the surface of our moon, or at least for accommodating the likes of whomever we don't want living here on Earth (I have a growing list of whom those folks should be, roughly the bulk of the upper 0.1% of humanity that have been snookering upon the lower 99.9% of humanity, and I do believe there should be plenty of available space on and/or within the moon for $6.5e6$ folks).

—

This last part is being contributed for the ongoing benefit of others (topic newcomers), and not that it matters to those without a stitch of remorse outside of whatever appeases their NASA/Apollo cold-war or bust God, as in spite of their flak and within my spare dyslexic time I've slightly polished on my external 'gv-topics' page, and I'm working on other pages as soon to be improved. As I learn more that can be independently supported by sufficient hard-science, I'll share that knowledge, which will likely include revisions and retractions of what I've offered thus far. Unfortunately, since I'm unfunded and on the usual 'need-to-know' bases with regard to anything that might rock a mainstream boat, this process is going to take many thousands of my lose cannon shots before the truth and nothing but the truth is going to be told. And I bet you thought big and fully loaded aircraft smashing into fully occupied tall buildings was as bad as it gets; think again.

Basic township that's situated upon Venus:

<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/gv-town.htm>

Basic LSE (Lunar Space Elevator):

<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/lunar-space-elevator.htm>

Other available topics by; Brad Guth / GASA-IEIS

<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/gv-topics.htm>