

## Re: Relativity question

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-03/11994.html>

---

**From:** RP (*no\_mail\_no\_spam\_at\_yahoo.com*)

**Date:** 03/23/05

Date: Wed, 23 Mar 2005 11:46:43 -0600

bz wrote:

> RP <*no\_mail\_no\_spam@yahoo.com*> wrote in news:*3a4eafF6112hnU1*

> @*individual.net*:

>

>

>>BTW, you might check the NASA archives for an experiment performed on

>>flying platforms. At 20 miles above a ground station a series of

>>modulated electron beams (up to tens of amps) sent from one to the

>>other produced zero detectable radiation at the ground station. ZERO.

>>It was noted, I suppose for no other reasons than it occurred, that the

>>beams produced a nice artificial borealis though :/

>>

>

>

> I looked at the experiment. IIRC, ground stations DID detect em radiation

> triggered by the electron beams.

None :)

>

>

>

>>How do you suppose these varying \*magnetic\* fields of yours produced

>>no detectable signal?? Simple answer, there were no magnetic fields

>>generated. It could be a coincidence, but my site received a series of

>>hits from NASA, and several other .gov servers, shortly after my

>>posting the solution to their little paradox on this NG :)

>>

>

>

> I have discussed your conjecture with one of the professors that works with

> electron beams. He is interested in testing the idea. I will let you know

> what results we get if he can get some time to test the idea.

That's just friggin fantastic! If it goes, and goes well, then I've got a few other experiments in mind.

sci.physics: Re: Relativity question

Richard Perry