

# Re: Loop quantum gravity – loop quantum electrodynamics

**Source:** <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005–03/2984.html>

---

**From:** Y.Porat ([maporat\\_at\\_012.net.il](mailto:maporat_at_012.net.il))

**Date:** 03/05/05

Date: 5 Mar 2005 10:58:55 -0800

N:dlzc D:aol T:com (dlzc) wrote:

> *Dear Y.Porat:*

>

> *"Y.Porat" <maporat@012.net.il> wrote in message*

> *news:1109998683.563565.182050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...*

> > *hi David*

> > *let me say that i quite old enough to know*

> > *somwething about that issue inour very old*

> > *tradition there is a saing in free clumsy*

> > *translation:*

> > *'respect him and suspect him'*

> > *i trust everybody and respect him ...until*

> > *.....he proves the other way*

> >

> > *(to dismiss my site with one word – 'Plonk'*

> > *is crookedeness!! and*

> > *dishonesty*

> > *and stupidity.)*

>

> *I disagree.*

-----

di dyou ever agreed with me on anything ? (:–)

i guess that once you agree you dont bother to write

the main pleasure of people here is to disagree

in our place it is called 'farginen'

ie to give credit to someone and be happy with his suces

stargely enough you will never find a parralel word

(just one word) in English !!!

a mther to think about .....

--

If you cannot convince, if you do not relish the

> interaction, if you only get pain, why persist? Add them to the

> killfile. Nature provides pain to learn lessons. If you can't

> learn the lesson, because of the pain...

i am afried i didnt got you

sci.physics: Re: Loop quantum gravity – loop quantum electrodynamics

who is to learn a lesson??  
do you mean that once i confront difficulties  
in transferring my findings i should give up doing it ??  
or do you mean that once people do not get me it means i am wrong??  
-----

> > now dont you agree with me that while  
> > someone presents himself  
> > with a false name (whatever his reasons  
> > will be ) something of his credibility is hurt??  
>  
> Mark Twain does not suffer credibility simply because that was  
> not his name. John Wayne does not suffer credibility simply  
> because that is not his name. No, I do not judge a "man" by what  
> "he" (or "his" parents) calls "himself" in words. I judge a  
> "man" by my perception of the deeds "he" surrounds "himself"  
> with.

sorry you didnt got the difference between Mark Twain  
and say GD or PD or the devil knows  
Twain was publishing a very long time under that name  
every one knew who is mark Twain  
every one known who is John wayne  
because every one saw him on the movie  
John Wayne never attacked anyone about his work without  
sufficient substantiation  
yet Mark Twain kept his name all the time  
those crooks change their names constantly  
in order that it will look like 'many people are defaming you  
and worse than that under that false name they can allow themselves  
to get wild to cheat etc in away that it will not harm  
their 'respectable image' at the 'Mr Jackel version'  
ie Twain weine etc were creators not critics  
that pose an image of 'objective personalities'  
without some private biased interests  
now it is cheating  
and another point in that hiding technique  
is defending themselves from suing libel etc etc  
so the difference is obvious .  
hope you got me and the difference

-----  
>  
> > best regards  
> > Yehiel Porat (:-)(yehiel is a\* rare\* name from the bible )  
>  
> Yea, I got a more common one...  
>  
> David A. Smith  
David as you know is also from the Bible  
and the original David like you didnt hide their names....  
a lot of names in the west are from the Bible...  
all the best  
Y.Porat  
ps enough with that waste of time ....  
i wish that this thing could be cleaner from the personal politics.  
-----  
-----