

## Re: Intelligent Design?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-04/msg03861.html>

---

- *From:* The Ghost In The Machine <[ewill@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:ewill@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Wed, 27 Apr 2005 05:00:19 GMT
- 

In sci.physics, T Wake

<[taswakeAt@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:taswakeAt@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>

wrote

on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 15:12:27 +0100

<[34idnT5I5OfL0fPfRVnyvg@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:34idnT5I5OfL0fPfRVnyvg@xxxxxxxxxx)>:

>

> "The Ghost In The Machine" <[ewill@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:ewill@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in

> message [news:lur1k2-hpo.ln1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:lur1k2-hpo.ln1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

>> In sci.physics, T Wake

>> <[taswakeAt@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:taswakeAt@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>

>> wrote

>> on Tue, 26 Apr 2005 10:30:36 +0100

>> <[rqSdnac3KraPlvPfRVnyuQ@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:rqSdnac3KraPlvPfRVnyuQ@xxxxxxxxxx)>:

>>>

>>> <[macromitch@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:macromitch@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message

>>> [news:1114483763.681731.22640@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:1114483763.681731.22640@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

>>>> Gravity is a push.

>>>> The push has a limit.

>>>>

>>>> What is the limit?

>>>>

>>>> What indeed? Neutron stars can't get indefinitely big; at some

>>>> point one gets a singularity. Even the fact that neutron stars

>>>> exist at all is a limit; the Pauli Exclusion Principle apparently

>>>> has failed at such stress levels, which is a little puzzling to

>>>> me personally but presumably there's a theoretical physicist

>>>> about that might be able to explain it... :-)

>>>>

>>>> Probably not here :-)

Oh, I dunno; we had one experimenter who performed some interesting experiments with Fe-57, demonstrating that gamma ray absorption was interfered with by the simple expedient of setting the block in motion. :-)

Rather sensitive, that test.

And Uncle Al's making diamonds. Unlike tj frazer, who seems to think that everyone's interested in his boat,

Re: Intelligent Design?

Uncle Al appears to at least have half a clue. (The other half is my fault; I can't understand it! :-) But I'm not sure I'd want to get anywhere near that "devil's solvent" he's using, whatever it is.)

There's hope for Usenet. I'm not sure how much.

>  
>>>  
>>>> We cannot fall at the speed of light.  
>>>>  
>>>> Prove it.  
>>  
>> No proof possible. We have reasonably good evidence, however.  
>> We're accelerating protons to the tera-electron-volt level  
>> and they're still not traveling fast enough. :-)  
>  
> Very true. My main point is Nick has the, erm, tendency  
> to make very bold assertions (often contradictory and  
> normally lacking in internal logic) and when ever any one  
> disagrees or points out his mistakes, his response is  
> to demand proof. He has stated himself that he lacks the  
> basic mathematical understanding which is why his  
> conclusions are often suspect.  
>  
> I suspect Nick thinks God is the reason we can not fall  
> at the speed of light and I would be interested to he  
> explains himself.  
>

I've noticed that too, admittedly. I can't say I've paid that much attention, though.

--  
#191, ewill3@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx  
It's still legal to go .sigless.

---

• *References:*

- ◆ **Re: Intelligent Design?**  
◇ From: T Wake
- ◆ **Re: Intelligent Design?**  
◇ From: macromitch
- ◆ **Re: Intelligent Design?**  
◇ From: T Wake
- ◆ **Re: Intelligent Design?**  
◇ From: The Ghost In The Machine
- ◆ **Re: Intelligent Design?**  
◇ From: T Wake

Re: Intelligent Design?

- Prev by Date: ***Re: RUSSIAN ASTROLOGER SUES NASA, TRIES TO STOP DEEP IMPACT MISSION***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Synchrotron radiation & electric field***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Intelligent Design?***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Who said you have to quantize space?***
- Index(es):
  - ◆ ***Date***
  - ◆ ***Thread***