

Re: Things Formulaic

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-05/msg01801.html>

- *From:* Mark Ferguson <nunya@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 13 May 2005 08:00:39 -0700
-

Al wrote:

"Mark Ferguson" <nunya@xxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:8zOge.30564\\$fl.26567@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:8zOge.30564$fl.26567@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Al wrote:

<I'll reply to the below because you don't seem to know how to direct and format replies appropriately to newsgroups; it'll come eventually. I'll even show you how it might look>

There seems to be a misunderstanding. I do not think that maths is bad; maths is neutral, its the use to which it's put that is bad. There is nothing wrong with science per se, its the ham fisted way it is used.

<The single indent means you wrote the immediately above text>

"Ham-fisted" usually indicates a lack of consideration of some factor(s). Which factor(s) did you have in mind?

When I speak of dehumanisation I mean the quantifying of us.

See below

<What newsreader are you using? It doesn't do indents for crap>

Re: Things Formulaic

Look, whether you like it or not, people have characteristics which are quantizable (not that it's been done to the last decimal place yet) and thus subject to statistical modelling.