

Re: Galaxies and antimatter

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-05/msg03342.html>

- *From:* herbertglazier@xxxxxxxxx (G=EMC² Glazier)
 - *Date:* Tue, 24 May 2005 16:58:29 -0400
-

Hi Sam I know the classical theory that nature created matter and anti-matter at the big bang, and she did not balance her act, and created more matter than anti-matter and here we are. Bull shit My theory is much better, and does not make a fool of mother nature. It simply- states both had a tiny time lapse on creation, and moved apart. It is shorter (that's better) The Cern accelerator proves this theory. This also gives reality to our parrel universe. You see Sam if you knock my theory your words are destroying a universe exactly like ours. That's not nice I say the universes moved apart let me add the distance was only the thickness of a membrane (a brane, curled up micro dimension etc) Our macro problem is we can't relate to sizes millions of times thinner than the surface of a soap bubble. Its time for us to think small.
Bert

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ [**Re: Galaxies and antimatter**](#)
◇ *From:* Sam Wormley
 - ◆ [**Re: Galaxies and antimatter**](#)
◇ *From:* Nick
- *References:*
 - ◆ [**Re: Galaxies and antimatter**](#)
◇ *From:* Sam Wormley
- Prev by Date: [**Re: OFT question**](#)
- Next by Date: [**Re: How big is your light cone Old Man?**](#)
- Previous by thread: [**Re: Galaxies and antimatter**](#)
- Next by thread: [**Re: Galaxies and antimatter**](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [**Date**](#)
 - ◆ [**Thread**](#)