

Re: Science Mag's top 125 unanswered questions

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-07/msg01090.html>

- *From:* "Y.Porat" <maporat@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 12 Jul 2005 21:49:24 -0700
-

markwh04@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

- > Y.Porat wrote:
- >> how can a W boson be 90 times bigger than its mother
- >
- >> 8 how is it that fucken mathematicians-
- >> with [sic] no physics sense rule physics ??
- >
- > How would you know what sense they had, unless you're claiming to have
- > a superior perspective to them (and therefore everyone else)?

i tries to speak nearly in *your logic*
it seems to me that you are not even using your logic:

you saie ssomething like that the bosons are cerators of particles
right??

yet i saies that bosons are much less *substantiated experimantally*
ie much less than known particles
do you deny that??
do you deny than physics is first of all an experimental scince?

and that will lead us just right now to your second claim
about the roll of mathematics in physics.....

- >
- > More to the point: what qualifies YOU to judge their (or anyone's
- > sense)?

see above i am just a poor crackpot....
who deludes himself that he has some sounng physics sense....
(not to mention mor ethan 50 years being folowing and dalpy interested
in scince...)

- >
- > And since when is physics NOT a mathematical science?

Re: Science Mag's top 125 unanswered questions

common man

that is exactly what i saied:

imho you lack the sense of proportions and the fine' measure sense'

iow

how much in scince is experiments and how much of it is maths??

and what should be THE LEADER in scince??

experiments of maths???

to be more specific and less enigmatic:

Maths is only an 8aiding tool *for physics

it was not and is not the leader of physical thinking.

you can do with it(with maths) endless nonsense models

yet the real

advance is while the model(one of many attempts) fits all experimental

data

with *no holes in it *!!!

if there are holes ——— amendment is needed

and ammendments in physics are

not done by mathematical thinking but by

physical thinking!!!

If you have a

> problem with calculus being inherent in the science, then take it up

> with the One who made the world as it is, and let's see how far you get

> with He/She/It.

thats another mistake of yours

you delude youself that all calculations are by calculus

any of the modern and complicated calculations

are by numeric calculations and *iteration*

even the biggest computers of to day do it.

do you *satrt* to 'read' me ??

ie to understand my previous laconic remarks ??

ps

and another surprise fo ryou:

i did unprecedented advance in nuclear findings

by

a pocket calculator again with those iteration systms

ps i am just a poor Bsc Engineer so i know some calculus as well.

ATB
Y.Porat

• **References:**

- ◆ **Science Mag's top 125 unanswered questions**
 ◇ From: Sam Wormley
 - ◆ **Re: Science Mag's top 125 unanswered questions**
 ◇ From: Y.Porat
 - ◆ **Re: Science Mag's top 125 unanswered questions**
 ◇ From: markwh04
-
- Prev by Date: **Re: experimental measurement of electron diameter?**
 - Next by Date: **Re: How to shoot down or cath a fly....**
 - Previous by thread: **Re: Science Mag's top 125 unanswered questions**
 - Next by thread: **Re: Science Mag's top 125 unanswered questions**
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**