

Re: Naturally, there can be no "aether"; Special Relativity Lecture by Michael Fowler

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-09/msg02092.html>

- *From:* "platopes" <platopes@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 25 Sep 2005 21:45:04 -0700
-

Traveler wrote:

```
> On 25 Sep 2005 20:34:49 -0700, "platopes" <platopes@xxxxxxxx> wrote:
>
>>
>> Paul Stowe wrote:
>>> On 25 Sep 2005 17:27:39 -0700, "platopes" <platopes@xxxxxxxx> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Traveler wrote:
>>>>> On 25 Sep 2005 16:57:43 -0700, "platopes" <platopes@xxxxxxxx> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> So-called "opposites" are crap. You're an idiot. :)
>>>>>>
>>>>>> ahahaha... OK. That's it.
>>>>>
>>>>> Okay that's what? You're gonna get a job now? There's no "opposite"
>>>>>> of the absolute. Think about it...oh, sorry, I forgot.
>>>>>
>>>>>> Fuck you and the mule you've been sleeping
>>>>>> with.
>>>>>
>>>>>> Mule? That's no way to talk about your mother!
>>>>>
>>>> Chuckle, now that's funny :)
>>>>
>>>> Louie flames at the drop of a hat. Ya'might call him mini torch...
>>>>
>>>> Paul Stowe
>>>
>>> Flames are okay. Traveler is pompous and cowardly.
>>>
>>> Check this crap out -
>>>
>>>"To tell you the truth, I've been so busy this past year with my other
>>>activities that I had very little time to spend on physics. It's a
>>>shame, really, because fundamental physics is very dear to me." -
>>>Traveler
```

>>

>> Oh dear, Emily, that's a shame! What a pouf!

>

> ahahaha... You're either a closet pederast or a pedophile, Mitch.

WOWEEEEeee!!

> I've

> met your kind before.

>

No doubt you've spent many hours at a stretch with such folks. Do you set bear traps and step into them?

So now, jerk,

If everything came from nothing, it would have done so at a certain *time*. There is no time in nothing, there is no change in nothing, nothing can have no relationships, such as being the thing everything came from. Give it up.

No existence without change; dimension is change.

Change precludes "nothingness"; "nothingness" cannot change.

Change is Two Things – Now & Future; they are mutually definitive, but not "opposite". They are One Thing.

One Thing is fundamental and homogeneous – change is continuous.

Now exists as certainty in Future.

Future exists as probability in Now.

Probability and certainty exist in the physical manifestation of existence, (just like the EM field), in response to which the human brain evolved the capacity for higher thought; life forms evolve toward better exploitation of pre-existing physical environments. Higher thought is an impossibly unlikely "shot in the dark" in terms of evolution. It's not an extension of processing simple physical data; we don't need "Waiting For Godot" in order to flourish in our current physical environment. Not *apparently*. But what fool would posit that our current state is the end of evolution?

An object may be thought of as a process – the process of thought should be viewed as an object.

"Thought is the unifying force" should be taken literally. We do not experience the full spectrum of Thought, just as we do not see the full spectrum of light.

My bullshit's better than yours.

p

- **Follow-Ups:**

- ◆ ***Re: Naturally, there can be no "aether"; Special Relativity Lecture by Michael Fowler***
◇ From: Nick

- **References:**

- ◆ ***Naturally, there can be no "aether"; Special Relativity Lecture by Michael Fowler***
◇ From: Sam Wormley
- ◆ ***Re: Naturally, there can be no "aether"; Special Relativity Lecture by Michael Fowler***
◇ From: Traveler
- ◆ ***Re: Naturally, there can be no "aether"; Special Relativity Lecture by Michael Fowler***
◇ From: platopes
- ◆ ***Re: Naturally, there can be no "aether"; Special Relativity Lecture by Michael Fowler***
◇ From: Traveler
- ◆ ***Re: Naturally, there can be no "aether"; Special Relativity Lecture by Michael Fowler***
◇ From: platopes
- ◆ ***Re: Naturally, there can be no "aether"; Special Relativity Lecture by Michael Fowler***
◇ From: Traveler
- ◆ ***Re: Naturally, there can be no "aether"; Special Relativity Lecture by Michael Fowler***
◇ From: platopes
- ◆ ***Re: Naturally, there can be no "aether"; Special Relativity Lecture by Michael Fowler***
◇ From: Paul Stowe
- ◆ ***Re: Naturally, there can be no "aether"; Special Relativity Lecture by Michael Fowler***
◇ From: platopes
- ◆ ***Re: Naturally, there can be no "aether"; Special Relativity Lecture by Michael Fowler***
◇ From: Traveler

- Prev by Date: ***Re: God and storms***

- Next by Date: ***Re: Naturally, there can be no "aether"; Special Relativity Lecture by Michael Fowler***

- Previous by thread: ***Re: Naturally, there can be no "aether"; Special Relativity Lecture by Michael Fowler***

- Next by thread: ***Re: Naturally, there can be no "aether"; Special Relativity Lecture by Michael Fowler***

- Index(es):

- ◆ ***Date***
- ◆ ***Thread***