

## Re: Why not intrinsic redshift?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-09/msg02111.html>

---

- *From:* [donstockbauer@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:donstockbauer@xxxxxxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* 26 Sep 2005 03:25:04 -0700
- 

Would need to be a pretty systematic intrinsic redshift to see it uniformly in all directions and for it to progress according to Hubble's law. Sure, there's some intrinsic redshift. But we see a uniform RS out to the causal horizon, at which point the redshift =  $c$ . And there is more Universe beyond that, we just can't see it, just like on Earth, we cannot see beyond our horizon but there is surely more earth past that. Gee, just like the song:

"And I think to myself, what a wonderful world."

Except when people on this usergroup start in with the discourtesy and foul language. That bites Limousin bull penis.

---

• *References:*

- ◆ *Why not intrinsic redshift?*
  - ◇ *From:* Happy Hippy
- Prev by Date: *Re: When magnets do work by lifting things, do they get colder?*
- Next by Date: *Re: Naturally, there can be no "aether"; Special Relativity Lecture by Michael Fowler*
- Previous by thread: *Why not intrinsic redshift?*
- Next by thread: *Re: Why not intrinsic redshift?*
- Index(es):
  - ◆ *Date*
  - ◆ *Thread*