

Re: Could someone check this is right – SR length and time

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005–10/msg00130.html>

- *From:* "Dirk Van de moortel" <dirkvandemoortel@xx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 04 Oct 2005 07:18:35 GMT
-

"blackboab" <blackboab@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1128406806.649584.239840@xx
> dirk
>
> was the observation of the binary star a reasonable event they could
> set their clocks by ?

Before I answer this, do the exercise I gave you.
When you have done that, I might help you find
the answer to this question (and formulate it more
properly) as another exercise.

Dirk Vdm

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ ***Re: Could someone check this is right – SR length and time***
 ◇ *From:* blackboab
- *References:*
 - ◆ ***Re: Could someone check this is right – SR length and time***
 ◇ *From:* Dirk Van de moortel
 - ◆ ***Re: Could someone check this is right – SR length and time***
 ◇ *From:* blackboab
 - ◆ ***Re: Could someone check this is right – SR length and time***
 ◇ *From:* Dirk Van de moortel
 - ◆ ***Re: Could someone check this is right – SR length and time***
 ◇ *From:* blackboab
- Prev by Date: ***Re: Independent/Dependent Phases***
- Next by Date: ***Re: What was original in Einsteins SR theory ?***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Could someone check this is right – SR length and time***

Re: Could someone check this is right – SR length and time

- Next by thread: ***Re: Could someone check this is right – SR length and time***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***