

Re: Stupid Design.

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-11/msg00564.html>

- *From:* "Ale" <_cutbetweenunderscores_theopps75@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 9 Nov 2005 15:30:57 +0100
-

anybody has the new definition they want of science?

Should be interesting (read funny) to read it...

"Helmut Wabnig" <EmailAddress@> wrote in message
news:5hd3n1h1avpj7c7835fh6nhv1erpfr3qm@xxxxxxxxxxx
> <http://edition.cnn.com/2005/EDUCATION/11/08/evolution.debate.ap/index.html>

>
>
> Calling something, which exists, for the sake of it's mere existence,
> while NOBODY would miss it, would it be non-existant,
>
> "INTELLIGENT"
>
> is.....what?
>
> w.
> --
> \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$
> IN NONE WE TRUST.
> \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$
>

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ ***Re: Stupid Design.***
 - ◇ *From:* Gregory L. Hansen
 - ◆ ***Re: Stupid Design.***
 - ◇ *From:* Helmut Wabnig
- Prev by Date: ***Re: What will happen?***
- Next by Date: ***Re: E**2=m**2+p**2?***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: the problem of storing lines/string/wire/hose so they do not knot***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Stupid Design.***

Re: Stupid Design.

- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*