

Re: => Physics and facts contradict Bush regime <=

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-11/msg01545.html>

- *From:* GravityPhysics@xxxxxxxxxx (tj Frazir)
 - *Date:* Tue, 22 Nov 2005 11:00:02 -0500
-

They had to bring them down after they might fall over and wipe out 5 blocks.
in 2 directions.
bring them down before they fall over ?
the tops hit the ground in one piece and were not blasted.
2 big steel boxed tubes hit the ground in one piece UNLIKE the rest of the towers.
It was hotter 1 hour after the wrecks than it was when they came down.
Most of the fuel went out the other side of the buildings.
try heating and bending a boxed beam !!
You could have burnt the tower out and the bare floor and frame still stand.
Both tops slid off and flipped over going down and still stayed in one piece.
because the tops both fell in one piece ...
that was a solid frame.
It's the same frame thicker going down and it's tough enough to slide off the top and hit the ground and still have its glass on the way down .
It did not crumble ,,it fell off and came down in a solid block .
The rest of the building had less damage at the point the top slides
100 feet dragging beams over beams ,, slamming on a corner then rolling over the corner and falling upside down still in one piece.
IF it was anything like the rest of the tower why is it in one piece
???????????

-
- Prev by Date: [***Re: Theory of the day***](#)
 - Next by Date: [***Re: Any connection between Gravity and Electro Magnetism?***](#)
 - Previous by thread: [***Re: => Physics and facts contradict Bush regime <=***](#)
 - Next by thread: [***Re: => Physics and facts contradict Bush regime <=***](#)
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ [***Date***](#)
 - ◆ [***Thread***](#)